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CATHAO RLEACH We'11 start the meeting with the votes of sympathy.

MS. GALLAGER: Yes, Cathaoirleach. For Sarah Margaret Dorothy Stokes, mother-in-1aw of C11r. Jim Ruttle; for Nancy O'Dea, mother-in-law of our colleague, Teresa O'Dea, Environment Section; for Paddy Meagher, father of Damian Meagher-Hanson; and Sandra Dunne, Finance; and for Breda Tiernan, mother of Paddy Tiernan.

CATHAO RLEACH I think we also recognise Mary McDonald's mother as well, and I think, Tom Fortune, your brother-in-1aw died as we11. We recognise that as well, okay. Can we stand please.

CLLR. BLAKE: Sorry, Chairman.
CATHAO RLEACH Sorry, sorry, yes?
CLLR. BLAKE: There was the accident in Carnew last week.
MS. GALLAGFER: Oh yes.
CLLR. BLAKE: And the family of John Byrne.
CATHAO RLEACH Okay, certainly on that. Nothing, no other ones? Okay, we'11 stand please, thank you.

## MDMENT OF SI LENCE

CATHAO RLEACH Okay. Members, we're going to start with just a brief comment from the Chief Executive and then the Finance officer and then we'11 get into, into
the bones of the meeting. We're going to take the two, two, two and two, the programmes together, the first two in twos along the way, and obviously then we'11 have a question and answer session after each, each of those two programmes. So I'11 let the, the Chief Executive then.

## PRESENTATI ON BY MR. CURRAN

MR. CURRAN Thanks, Cathaoirleach. Just before I
start, the Urban Regeneration and Development Fund grants have just been announced, and I think we did well on that. We got three Category A projects. They're announcing the shove1 ready projects. So one of those is the Bray Public Transport Bridge which is linking the golf course lands to the garda station, it's 3.45 million, Arklow Historic Core which is in around the courthouse, in the playground area, digital hub and courthouse, significant as well, 1.645 million, and wicklow town which is principally the Fitzwilliam Square area, 1.626. So that's 6.7 million . I think we did well on that. We're one of the few counties to get, to get three projects which are three shovel ready projects.

Now we got of course the, the funding in linking the Avonmore Walk, Jubilee walk last week which is just around 500,000 as well which will be very significant in terms of outdoor recreation working through, I think
it's last Wednesday.

Now just back to the current account. Again, we're having the same problems we had last year. The LPT is 80\%. We still have to self fund housing as part of that. We have an issue with retirements. There's 200 employees due to retire in the next five years, so that's a draw on resources. Pay restoration is kicking in. We can't increase commercial rates as you know because we're in the harmonization process, and our dividend from IPB was down by two thirds.

But on the plus side, we do have additional income from rates. We will have next year, about 300,000 because of wind farms and various other buildings coming on stream. We have an increase in the vacant site fund, about 300,000 as well, NPPR parking is up. We will be doing the rent review in terms of housing, but any increase there will be ring-fenced for housing.

So, we have some extra money to put into the budget which is going on the housing conditional survey. There's about 150,000 for central heating installation, boiler maintenance, extra 250,000 for Fabric Upgrade, increased funding for the homeless, and then there's additional staff, Significant additional staff in the libraries, but also Housing, HR, Economy Development, ICT and outdoor staff.

There's an increase in the book fund, an increase in funding and fire services, additional funding for the wicklow and Arklow swimming pools. Then we'11 maintained the discretionary funding for the Municipal Districts, 900,000. The 300,000 for local footpaths like last year is in there as well. IPB funding is now 500,000. Again that's discretionary. That will be in place for the next five years. Down slightly on last year but we have it guaranteed for five years. The GMA, as you know, is 238,000. So it's 1.94 discretionary for the, for the Municipal Districts.

There is of course a significant amount of other monies spent in Municipal Districts, and we'11 see that in the 10:05 schedule of Municipal District works which will come in January/February when we have the, have the roadworks.

So I think that's a very brief summary. I'11 hand over to Brian just to run through it in a bit more detail.
Thank you, Cathaoirleach.
CATHAO RLEACH The Finance Officer, Brian, Brian G7eeson.

PRESENTATI ON BY MR. GLEESON

MR. GLEESON Thank you, Cathaoirleach. Good morning, Members. I'11 first of all summarise the main areas that I plan to discuss during the presentation this
morning. We'11 give an overview of the budgetary process, the planned expenditure and income for 2019, the financial challenges that we're facing next year.

Then I'11 outline new and additional expenditure arising next year, the funding to the Municipal Districts, and the main changes to our income and expenditure in the budget next year. Following this we will look at each division with the relevant directors of services. Then to conclude, we have a couple of decisions that are required in relation to the commercial rates for 2019.

The first stage of the budget process involves local property tax rate variation. As you're well aware at the September meeting it was decided to leave the rate unchanged for 2019.

The next stage involved the Municipal District budgetary plan, would have been the general Municipal allocations which were adopted by the individual Municipal Districts at their meetings in the past month. The adoption of the Statutory Annual Budget takes place today, and the final stage of the budgetary process involves the schedule of Municipal the a Municipal District works which will be finalised and issued in early 2020, or early 2019.

The decisions that we need to take today, the first one
is adopting the statutory annual budget, the second one in relation to commercial rates. As part of the rates harmonization process, we need to determine the adjustment for the former rating authorities and also decide on the rates relief percentage to be applied on fatal premises.

The draft budget presented to you today provides for 107.2 million of expenditure in 2019. This is an increase on the 2008 budget of 95.6 million which is fairly substantial. This is mainly due to increased grant funding in the areas of housing and roads.

It should also be noted that over 44 million or $43 \%$ of the budget relates to pay and pensions. The screen there shows a breakdown of the allocations per district per division. I'11 just outline them. Housing, 23.4 million; roads transportation, 23.9; water services, 7 and a half million; development management, 12 million; environmental services, 12.7 million; recreational
amenity, 8.5, agriculture, education, health and welfare, 1.7 million, and miscellaneous, 12.67 million.

As we are required to prepare a balance budget, the planned expenditure must be matched by income, and the table on the screen outlines the main categories of income. Local property tax, unchanged at 11.9 million. Our commercial rates come in at just under 28 million. Goods and services account for 33.3 million. That
would cover rents and housing loans, the annual payment from Irish water, parking fees and planning fees amongst other things.

Grants and subsidies account for 29.6 million of our income and that would include grants of 17.1 million from our parent department, Department of Housing, Planning and Local Government, and 9.2 million from TII in respect of roads.

There are a number of financial challenges we face in 2019. The first one is restricted sources of funding. As the LPT is unchanged at 12 million, due to a decision in September, in addition no new property valuations will be included in the calculations of LPT until the end of 2019, so we will not see the impact of this until Budget 2020. In addition, as the Chief Executive mentioned, we are in the final year of our rates harmonization process and we cannot alter the existing ARV until this is completed.

In relation to the national pay agreement, the additional costs of the public service pay deal in 2019 is approximately 900,000 of which we will receive $88 \%$ compensation from the Department. So approximately 100,000 needs to be met from our own resources to cover these costs.

Increase in pension costs is the third item. As you
are well aware, greater numbers are retiring each year and approximately 200 staff members are eligible to retire over the next five years. We also have to factor in the loss of pension contributions under the Single Public Service Pension Scheme which now go directly to Deeper rather than into the Revenue budget of wicklow County Council.

The last point there relates to reduced income. In 2018 we received the first tranche of the IPB capital redistribution funding which was 700,000 . This amount has been reduced to 500,000 in 2019, and that will be the figure for the remaining years of the funding programme up to 2022. Also due to significant and sustained volatility in the global investment market, our IPB dividend has been reduced from 434,000 in 2018 to approximately 130,000 in 2019.

However, to offset these reductions we have identified a number of income increases in other areas. Our commercial rates will see an increase of 300,000 based on new business valuations that occurred in 2018. NPPR receipts will be up by 200,000 based on our existing income levels. Parking income is increased by over 200,000, and the new vacant site levy is expected to generated over 300,000 in the coming year.

So notwithstanding all those challenges, we have been able to provide a number of increases in certain areas
in the 2019 budget, which I will now outline. These include the commencement of a housing conditional survey and associated works; additional fundings for central heating, boiler maintenance and housing repairs; a new funding provision for Fabric Upgrade works to our housing stock; increase funding for the housing of the homeless and disabled person grants; additional staffing for libraries and an increase in our library book fund. We've also included additional staffing in the areas of IT, HR, legal services, economic development, building control housing and for outdoor staff.

There is an increase in funding for our fire services and for tourism and promotion. We've also been able to retain the discretion funding of 900,000 for the Municipal Districts and the footpath renewal scheme of 300,000 taken from special development levies.

I'd now like to highlight the total discretionary funding that is available to the Municipal Districts in 2019. As mentioned already, public relevant discretionary funding will remain at 900,000 as well as the local footpath renewal scheme, 300,000. In addition to those two items, we have the general Municipal allocation, which was approved earlier this year, 238,500, and the IPB capital payment of 500,000 in respect of footpath repairs. This brings the total amount of discretionary funding available to the

Municipal Districts next year to 1.94 miliion.

However, it should be noted that this is not the only funding that is available to the Municipal Districts. There is under the schedule of Municipal District works, we have over 20 milli ion of direct district works in the areas of housing repairs, road programme, maintenance of parks, playgrounds et cetera. There's also centrally administered district works in excess of 10 million which relate to the provision of fire services, libraries, harbours and swimming pools. In 2019 we also plan to continue the process that was introduced this year whereby Members will submit proposals in respect of restoration, maintenance and improvement works with regard to the local roads programmes.

I will now in the budget book, the introduction would have highlighted the main changes in relation to expenditure and income for the budget. We'11 now go through each of those. Starting with housing; continual survey works. These are a11, by the way, actual increases rather than the full provision.

So conditional surveys works, an increase of 114,000 this year. We have an increase of 150,000 across the areas of central heating, boiler maintenance and housing repairs. There is a new provision of 250,000 for Fabric Upgrade works and a new provision in
relation to capital remediation works. We've also seen an increase in homeless expenditure, 170,000, and disabled person's grant expenditure of nearly 800,000 . That's based on increased grant assistance.

Over the area of roads, the IPB Capital Footpath Repair Scheme is down 200. We have provided an increase provision for public lightening energy efficiency of 50,000, and our parking operations will generate extra income over 200,000.
In the area of development management, the new income for vacant site levy will generate over 300,000 . There is a new initiative being introduced across all local authorities in relation to digital mapping and we've provided 60,000 in respect of this. Tourism and promotion will receive an additional allocation of 25,000 . In the area of environment, extra allocation in relation to the fire service operations of 100,000 . Recycling operations approximately 50,000 of an increase. There's a new regional anti-litter initiative that we have to contribute and that will be in the amount of 25,000 . There is a climate adaption plan which must be produced next year in line with government policy and we've earmarked 50,000 in that respect.

In the area of recreation and amenity, Arklow/wicklow swimming pools, we've increased the capital provision for 50,000 to upgrade works in relation to these pools.

Additional staff costs in line with our work force plan in relation to the library, 115,000 . We have increased the library book fund by 50,000 from 150,000 up to 200,000. A number of miscellaneous changes, the national pay agreement compensation has increased from last year by 900,000 . IT running costs which includes additional staff and increased licence costs, 144,000. Our NPPR receipts will increase by 200,000 . IPP dividend will reduce by approximately 300,000 , and the last two items are pension gratuity increases of 350,000 and a rates income increase, which I have mentioned earlier, of 300,000

So that concludes the main part of the presentation. I will now go through each of the divisions and the individual budgets. We'll start with housing. Division A, the planned expenditure for housing is 23 --

CATHAO RLEACH Sorry, just on that, there's a couple of Councillors want to get in. I'd like to get in, unless it's brief, I'm going to give the Councillors plenty of time, you know, as we go through programmes. Unless it's very brief, because I don't want to open it up to the whole floor now because we're getting into the programme now and you'11 have plenty of time as we go through all the programmes to ask the particular questions.

CLLR. BOURKE: I just want to make a general comment about the announcement that the Chief Executive made.

CATHAO RLEACH Okay, if you'11 be brief on that. I think if you're brief, okay, right. okay, that's fine. CLLR. BOURKE: I'd just like to compliment the Chief Executive on the hard work that he and his team have done in securing the funding from the Urban Regeneration and Development Fund for the works that are earmarked. The 1.6 million grant for upgrading the Historic Town Centre in Arklow is very very greatly received and it's excellent news. I'd also like to compliment (inaudible) and his Director of Services, Michael Nicholson, because this news comes hot on the heels of the, from the Rural Regeneration Fund which was announced last week. The 392,000 for the footpath, or the footbridge in the Rathdrum to link the Avonmore way and the Jubilee way. That's going to be a game changer for Co. Wicklow in terms of pedestrian walkways and tourist numbers. So great credit to the management team and everybody involved. Thank you.
MR. CURRAN Yes. I might say, the staff in the Municipal District put a lot of work into that Arklow project. You know I think it's great for them actually that they get it because it is, it's the public, it's great ground. The whole public area there is a plaza development. The existing courthouse will be refurbished. There is a digital hub hot desk space in there, and a lot of design work gone into that. 1.645, it's a significant grant that they can really do something with, you know.
CATHAO RLEACH we'11 put this on the agenda in

December to give people more information on the actually projects and all that are involved in this as we11. C11r. Behan, did you want to come in there?
CLLR BEHAN On the programmes, when you start the programmes.
CATHAO RLEACH oh yes, that's fine.
CLLR BLAKE: Just a couple of questions, Chairman. CATHAO RLEACH Yes, C11r. B7ake?
CLLR. BLAKE: we won't get a chance to go back to it. One was a revaluation of the rates. We won't get a chance to talk about that even though --
CATHAO RLEACH Yes, that's at the end of the meeting. we're going to have that at the end of the meeting. CLLR. BLAKE: okay. The second question then in relation to it is the staffing level, the staffing
levels internally here. Again we're concerned about the external staff out there.
CATHAO RLEACH Yes.
CLLR. BLAKE: Again we won't get a chance to talk about them later on. The last question I'll ask is, in
relation to the, what you just talked about a few moments ago there about the likes of the vacant site -and I don't understand how those are in actual -CATHAO RLEACH Yes.
CLLR. BLAKE: I thought there would be income, direct income in regard to it.
CATHAO RLEACH Okay. No, okay, we're going into the programmes and I'11 let you in on that again. C11r. walsh and then I'm moving on to --

CLLR WALSH Just a comment, Cathaoirleach, in relation to the directors, a reference there to the fact that over 200 council staff will be eligible to retire over the next five years.
CATHAO RLEACH Yes.
CLLR WALSH That is concerning. The Department don't seem to be dealing with this issue. I know other consultations have been made and this is something we need to be strong, and we can't --
CATHAO RLEACH No, we can't, we can't afford that. we're well aware of that. we'll handle that later on. Now we'11 go into the programmes. Right, okay.
MR. GLEESON The first programme is housing. The planned expenditure is 23.4 million. Income is planned, 24.5 million , and the figures can be looked at ${ }^{0: 21}$ on the budget booklet on page 84, a summarised version and the detailed version of the figures are on pages 95 to 97 .
CATHAO RLEACH okay. We're doing both of them together now, the roads as well. Okay, listen, c11r. Behan?
CLLR BEHAN Yes. I've comments and questions on the two programmes.
CATHAO RLEACH okay. okay, that's fine.
CLLR BLAKE: so like do you want both programmes or ${ }_{\text {10:22 }}$ just the housing first?
CATHAO RLEACH We11, no, just take the housing at the moment.
CLLR. BEHAN It won't take long. First of all I
really do want to put on record my appreciation of the work that the Chef Executive, the Director, the senior engineer and all of the staff in the Housing section did in relation to the MDY site in Bray.

CATHAO RLEACH Yes. patience, and it also, mind you, took patience on the part of public representatives who wanted to be responsible who weren't rushing out with media statements about it quick enough, anxiety and fears of all kinds, and in fact taking a chance that they could direct the entire process which would have led to a recommencement of the work. So I want to compliment everybody who contribute to that because it's definitely good news. It's a pity we've lost so much time, but I think this solution allows us to get back to work on that site and many many people, not least the people who are on the housing list, will be very glad to see the work recommencing there next week.

Secondly, in relation to the core weather initiative, again to the cold weather Initiative, again $I$ compliment the staff. I think Jackie is very involved in that particular work.
CATHAO RLEACH Yes.

CLLR. BEHAN The fact that there will be another cold Weather Initiative and that it will commence hopefully very very soon. Again I'd just like clarification as to the referral process. If Councillors become aware or are notified that they are people who are sleeping rough who need the access to that service, and we get it clarified publicly here what the referral process is so that if we become aware of somebody we can refer them on.

Now just coming to the bad news then, one of the statements that's in the Chief Executive's report is a proposed rent increase of $€ 600,000$ next year. what he's doing here, $I$ think, is a very dangerous thing because what he's actually saying is that this money, although it's current money, it's going to be classed as capital because it's going to be capital expenditure on houses that are going to be re-let. I think that's letting the government off the hook in a huge way where they should be responsible for ensuring that we get capital grants for works that needs doing in houses. What the Chief Executive is basically doing is allowing current income to be used as capital expenditure, and I don't think that's a good precedent. I don't know if it's happened before here but I certainly don't agree with it.

But there's another reason why I don't agree with it and it's a more fundamental reason; people who are on

HAP, who are in an employable (inaudible) sector, and RAS, there's not as many RAS now as HAP, part of their rent is based on a differential scheme, differential rent scheme which I think is comparative to the Council differential rent scheme.

Now, if I'm reading this right, what that would mean is that HAP renters will also have an increase to pay in their rent, if I'm reading this correctly, and if that is the case $I$ think that is grossly unfair on people who are suffering at the moment through first of all 1ack of available rental accommodation, but secondly, the exorbitant rents they're having to pay even with the HAP process. One way or another I think they're either going to have to pay more or it will up the price of private rents in the private rental sector because renters, landlords will realise that there's actually more money being taken out of the system by the Council administration.

So, I want to know a little bit more about this. I'm kind of surprised we didn't have any discussion on this at our most recent Housing SPC meeting which was on7y a week ago, I think it needs to be teased out. I know the Chief Executive was talking about a review, but this review is going to have to be very detailed. We will have to make sure that it will not fall unfairly on people who are already being hugely victimised by the lack of private rented accommodation available.

Secondly, in relation to the Fabric Upgrade, Upgrade scheme, this is something I've been going on about for a long time. In Dublin city and Dublin local authorities, they are already on Phase 2 of the Fabric Upgrade Scheme where they're getting new doors, new windows and other works, and in fact a lot of these works are being done on the oldest houses. Tenants of our oldest houses in wicklow have not availed of the first phase of the scheme. I want assurance from the Chief Executive here today that this Phase 2 is going to begin very early in the new year and that it will, you know that it will deal with as many as possible during this current year because I think it's a disgrace that people in Dublin are availing of this service and the residents in wicklow -- and it's government grants by the way. So we're actually not drawing down government grants because of the fact that we've been very slow to take up this particular scheme, and I think we should move on it.

In relation to roads, Cathaoirleach, could I get an update on what's happening about the extra lane on the N11 at the garage there in Kilmacanogue. We went through a public consultation process. I understood work was to start in October.
CATHAO RLEACH Yes.
CLLR BEHAN where is that at? I'm not talking about the huge scheme, I'm talking about the lane at the
garage there going up over the bridge and so on. Like is there some delay in that particular project or why have we not heard more about it?

CATHAO RLEACH okay.
CLLR BEHAN Also, I don't think there's half enough money put in the scheme, in the estimates at the moment for footpath upgrades, particularly in urban areas. You know yourself, Chairperson, you walk around Bray. The state of the footpaths in Bray are an absolute disgrace, an absolute disgrace, and while there's a certain amount of money being put in here, there is no way it's going to deal with the inadequacies of footpaths in the Bray, and I'm sure it's the same in all of the other areas. when people pay their property tax, they're entitled to see some bit of improvement when they look out, when they go out their door, go down the road whatever. I just think that a lot more could be done in terms of footpath expenditure. I'm wondering can that not be increased in terms of capital expenditure in this budget or in future budgets.

The final point, you'11 be glad to hear, Cathaoirleach, is in relation to public lighting. There's a figure put in there, I think it's an extra 50,000 or something for upgrade or public lighting. There was a plan at
one stage when they were going to upgrade all the lights in the county and that seems to have died a death.
CATHAO RLEACH Yes.

CLLR BEHAN But before we get too far into this, I just want to flag complaints that I've received from some of the new LED lighting that has been put in in some estates in Bray, I don't know if they've been put in other places. They're a very small light but they're actually a very very dazzling light, and if you're driving past or walking past, they actually can be very dazzling. I spoke with the engineer about this and he said there are different types. There's the softer type and a harsher light. But in residential areas, these are going to cause complaints. They're only being replaced as they're needed at the moment. I'm only flagging that there is going to be an issue about this, particularly for people driving past. They have a very harsh glare off them and I think we should have a look before we proceed much further on that.

CATHAO RLEACH Okay, grand. Thank you. C11r. Blake? CLLR BLAKE: Thanks for that. There are two things I want to actually bring up. I certainly do support him in regards to the refurbishment of our own local authority housing and only called up a number of times at the SPC meeting as well. But certainly the concept there from central government, we should be drawing them down.

Just on the public lighting, $I$ know there's a lot of talk about the LED lights and so forth. But we had a problem for the last couple of years where we endeavoured to upgrade or to put in some new lighting.

I know in Baltinglass and in Carnew they joined up to estates (inaudible). Pat put in some discretionary money back if not at least two years ago, if not three years ago to upgrade the lights in Carnew. That hasn't happened. So I'm just wondering where are we actually with the, whether it's the ESB or is it whoever is responsible for installing new lighting that we're actually proposing for ourselves but it's actually not being done. Thanks, Chairman.
CATHAO RLEACH Okay, grand. C11r. Sne11?
CLLR. SNELL: Thanks, Cathaoirleach. I suppose I should be a little bit disappointed because normally I get the soapbox out at this time of year and I stand up on it, and I mentioned in regard to central heating and the insulation and the lack of insulation in our properties. But looking at what the Chief Executive has presented here today, there's a lot of positives, particularly the commencement of the housing conditional (inaudible) and associated works. The extra 150,000 for central heating, fibre maintenance, housing repairs.

I also shared the frustration of C11r. Behan, and to be fair to him, he has mentioned it for a long time here, not just in the chambers but at the Housing SPC. In regards to the Fabric Upgrade works, and it is something that I'd like to see progress to Phase 2 certainly in the first quarter of 2019 because while we have a huge amount of housing stock, almost 4 and a
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half thousand properties, it is very important that people see the benefit of Phase 2 , that is insulation
--

I'd also like to welcome the increase funding for housing the homeless and the DPGs. These are stuff that $I$ wouldn't envisage to coming in here this morning there was going to be increases on, so I just want to commend everyone involved in producing the figures here today. Obviously it's 23,000 of the overall budget. I would love to see more of the overall budget spent on housing, but like previously speakers I want to commend the Housing Section and the staff from the Director of Housing to the Senior Executive Officer and all the staff who work around them for the work they do. I know they could spend an awful lot more money if they had it, and maybe Brian might find a little bit more money by the afternoon. But the reality is that they do a tremendous job with the little they get. Thanks, Cathaoirleach.

CATHAO RLEACH Okay. C11r. Lawless?
CLLR. LAVLESS: Thanks, Cathaoirleach. I just have one question. Just in regards around housing, I would just, I would actually like to thank Joe, Jackie and the team just for the Cold Winter Initiative as well. It's great to have it back up and running again this year. I would be the same as Joe as we11, Joe Behan, I'd like to know the ins and outs of referrals, that we have the correct information, we're contacted by the
public as well.

Also I know in my own area $90 \%$ of my work is generally housing and I'm glad to see there is movement of people actually getting houses which is a real positive as well, and the same as what C11r. Sne11 is saying, I'm very glad to see there has been increases in funding into the Housing Section as wel1.

So I've just one kind of question in around some of our 10:33 vacant houses that we have. I know a few in our own area. I'm just wondering of the turnaround on them because there is a new framework model and one particular house in my area was used and it's taken nearly a year for this house to be actually refurbished 10:33 and for somebody to get into. I'm just looking compared to the way they used to do it or not. I know there was another house vacant and I know it's actually bordered up for a year now. I'm just wondering the difficulties around that, Joe, and why it's taking so long because obviously I know your goal is to get people housed, so it's no criticism of yourself. I'm just wondering with this new framework mode1 from the Department, is this actually what's causing the delays in getting these houses up and running again for people 10:34 to occupy them. Thanks.
CATHAO RLEACH Okay, grand. C11r. Fortune?
CLLR. FORTUN: Thanks, Cathaoirleach. I'd like also to compliment the Housing Section and the good work
they do I think in very very difficult circumstances. The pressures that are on out there is quite, quite serious.

Rather than repeat something that's already been asked, 10:34 I just wanted to focus on a couple of things, really the HAP and rent increases in general. I'm aware of a situation at the moment where a HAP team, where people are living under that scheme in Newcastle which the
place is going to be sold. So I'm just wondering how you propose to deal with that going forward because it's going to leave people in very, in very difficult circumstances when it happens. We do have a five or six-month notice on it so I'd certainly like to see a kind of proactive positive being put in place to cater for that before it becomes, as I say, a serious issue.

Then the other, a lot of calls I get, and there are other councillors getting it as well, where people are paying rents and then suddenly the rent goes up and there's a gap and the shortfall is not being made up by anybody and they find themselves then in really difficult circumstances. Also people who are being told that where they're living is going to be taken back from them for all kinds of reasons. The problem to be a gap where we don't seem to be able to cope with that. So I'm just wondering under our programmes, we look forward to the coming 12 months, how do we see
ourselves dealing with that. I'm saying this in the context of us hopefully being proactive rather than reactive because generally what happens is, someone rings you in a panic. I've got to be out of my house in a month or I've to be out of the house in five weeks. I've nowhere to go. I've two children blah-blah-blah. We don't, we don't seem to be able to cater for that.

The other concern I had is when houses now are being allocated, there's not enough houses obviously and I think our national government needs to really step up to the mark here and support the local authority because as I said the upside of my comments, I think the local authority are doing a very very good job in very very difficult circumstances.

So my main concern is looking at the budget and looking at going forward, how are we going to cater for those items because that's where the, that's where the
pressure comes on. It's great to get the extra money for adaptions and refurbishing houses, that's brilliant. But the cold face end when people are under pressure, you know where they're got families, small families and suddenly they find themselves under this kind of pressure, I just want to see have we got some proactive programme in place where we can react that positive way or can we as reps interact on it without having to go through, being told like we have to fill
out this form or we have to, we can't talk to you under data protection and stuff like that, that there's a fast track way of dealing with a person who finds themselves in that situation.
CATHAO RLEACH Okay. Grand. C11r. Walsh?
CLLR. WALSH Thanks, Cathaoirleach. Just a general comment on the presentation.
CATHAO RLEACH If you've both as well, if you've anything on houses and roads together we're taking, right. okay?
CLLR. WALSH We have three 886 applicants currently looking for social housing support which obviously huge demands there. Our programme expects to deliver 375 units by the end of next year so there's a huge shortfall obviously there. So we continue to rely on, on the private market for housing in relation to HAP and of course the Part 5 s which again it's unsatisfactory but the rental situation of it is there (inaudible) but to engage in HAP.

We have situations where I know of one couple there with a young family living in substandard accommodation, converted garage, no proper heating, dampness, and they're almost afraid to present because if that property is inspected you could find themselves, you know, homeless and they don't have any, they have no other options, so that's the sort of situation that's out there.

I'd just like to commend the Housing staff here for the tremendous work they do on a day-to-day basis under extreme pressure, thank you.
CATHAO RLEACH Okay. That's it now. I've just a couple of questions myself. In regard to the house purchase loans, I don't know with other Councillors but I've had numerous people on to me about how difficult it seems to be to actually get a loan. The criteria, what they're saying is almost impossible. They're finding it really difficult. I've never had this problem before in regard to council loans and that type of thing. I just want to know what exactly the situation is in regard to this because I can't believe that people who are, have a very good salary are being refused loans from the Council. It's never, to my mind, happened before. That's one.

In relation to public lighting, cllr. Behan put it, it's another issue in regard to the lighting and the brightness and all. What I'm finding with public
lighting is the amount of time that it's taking to replace lights that are out. I mean on some occasions people have been off ten and 12 times to the provider and they still haven't got, so the response time is absolutely abysmal at this stage, and I think all over the county I'm getting this. I think something has to happen there.

Like what C11r. Behan was saying, in regard to an
update on the Kilmac, the road there in Kilmac, we believe that was going to be done before Christmas it was suppose to be done in regard to that, okay. So I'm going to Joe now and Housing now is finished, so I'm going to Joe now and then I'11 go on to the roads then after, to answer the questions on the road situation.

## PRESENTATI ON BY MR LANE

MR. LANE: Okay, yes. Thanks very much. Thanks for your patience, Members, particularly the Members of Bray Municipal. For all of us, I presume we're all beginning to realise how difficult housing construction is. It is quite a complicated thing, but hopefully things will start on the ground this weekend, just assessing where they are and they should be commencing this week I'd say. okay. (Inaudible). There were a number of -- in regard to this. It's a balance between people turning up and good management situation while at the same time making sure that nobody is turned away. We have to assess, we have to register but there are -- to answer a specific question, there are four referral routes, Wicklow County Council, (inaudible) and also the gardaí.

We are trying to, we are looking at the moment to indicate the call number and clearly also we'11 come back to you on that if we can get that up and running.

But it's a balance between not turning people away while at the same time (inaudible).

The rent issue, just clarify a few things there. Over the last couple -- we, we know -- when houses come back, and this answer also to re-1ets, so I'11 answer re-1ets and the rents together, when houses come back some of them, we do a full assessment when the house comes back and we don't leave it back out until it's fully compliant with the regulations and the rent regulations. We also, because of the, because it will be dealt with in the future, so unless they come back in fairly good condition this involves new kitchens, a quite comprehensive remit, to make sure that it is fit for purpose for longer than just leaving it out.

Also a lot of houses they come back as -- a lot of cases involves a rewire. So they can be slow to leave back out but when they're gone out they're back up to the new standard and a standard that hopefully will keep the tenant happy for a number of years. For the last couple of years we didn't, we funded this because of the sheer volume of houses and because of the expenditure per item. We expended money on the capital account rather than the written account but the author has drawn attention to this and said you have to have a source of income. You cannot continue funding just with the capital account with an unfunded balance. So
from now on it must be funded from the Revenue account. This is just, it's not, this has been hidden in the capital account. It's simply that we, that the money, we can't just capitalise it and no source of income.
So all we're doing is ring-fencing on to the (inaudible) and charging it against re-lets. In normal cases we probably should be taking it out of the revenue account in the first place but we'11 bring it up to the standard that will keep the units for a considerable time.

All rents, including HAP, will be included in the increase. It's not an increase per se, it's a review of the rent based on the current system, based on the current rents scheme. So it's only ensuring that all the information we have in the County Council that the rent is based on that.

Fabric Upgrades, yes, we have been doing the first phrase of this over a number of years. I think Dublin would be -- maybe one more, and Phase 2 , the rest of the local authorities are still at Phase 1. All the schemes finished in early 2019, all the ones under Phase 1. We're currently also assessing houses to see what can be done in Phase 2 . Some of the units still can't be done in Phase 1 and will have to be done under Phase 2. That will inform our grants for Phase 2 but we're still very dependent on a few more surveys coming in to apply for the Phase 2. That will then be sent to
the Department as early as we can in the new year.

Conditional survey, yes. We -- I think we may do a conditional survey for the purpose, a couple of years and we have a lot of information but we don't have consistent information in a uniformed manner that the other local authorities or Housing in general has. So it is proposed to do a conditional survey in 2019. We're currently waiting, our hope is there will be a national scheme on this because there's no point again in, there's a few local authorities who have started it but there's additional information, environmental information. There's a whole lot of other information. We're going for consistent approach.

There's a reason for this. For me the good practice would recommend or we would recommend the planning maintenance rather than a response maintenance. In a lot of cases if you look at it the central heating and stuff, the central heating, that's more of a plan where 10:45 we go in and we have a programme over a number of years. Invariably a lot of our maintenance money is spent on this.

Conditional survey would be more of the, we would hope that the planning maintenance, our programme over the years where we deal with stuff on a routine basis and we don't have a crisis if the units come back or something like that. We're hoping that the government
will have a scheme. we'11 already contribute a percentage, a large percentage of the continual survey itself and then afterwards the programme. This will, this may be targeted and we will replace the government current wide scheme where we will be more in control and rather than having a crisis that this will be good planning maintenance.
okay, re lets again, sorry, there's another issue there. The framework was our own and I think in one location of this over in Newcastle it was used to accost the framework. The framework is not, the national framework is their own framework so we basically give a sample to (inaudible) which we then fill out. A particular one might be, the second one I think might be -- so there are, we are conscious (inaudible )for re lets. Some cases, it depends on what condition they come back, and like I said earlier you know the condition we want to send it back but they can take long for our people, we just have to bear with 10:47 them. If there's any particular issue, we do try because it's a national indicator and because it's good management and good practice, we do try to get them out as quickly as possible. But we have, there are a lot of inspections for electricity and in a lot of cases we 10:47 let out a particular issue -- sorry, rewires can be a particular issue. It doesn't look like we're doing anything, and there we'11 be doing re-wiring and a whole lot of work to be done afterwards. It's just
bear with us on that.

HAP, homelessness, yes. For anybody in a situation like that, they need to get in contact with the local authority and we advise them. we have a whole lot of new systems regarding that. In particular we have, and the last year appointed a Homeless HAP Place Finder. This is the essential part of the homeless team. If something can be done in -- they need to get on to us. They need to get the information into us so that we can put them (inaudible). The Homeless HAP Place Finder was appointed in 2018. It seeks out potential properties suitable for householders. They liaise with specific householders. Sometimes we can do something with the landlord at times. So the important thing is to get in to us as quickly as possible and get it done, get the people on our register so we know where we are. on top of that, we also need to know what's going on in the market. So I can't stress, we need to get people in to us as quickly as possible, even if it's only just 10:48 to make that contact.

Then afterwards we have to go through the homeless process to move them on so we know where we are in relation to what we need in the area and all that. So like $I$ say, let's get on, complete the data, get it in to us and we'11 deal with the stuff.

It is people -- I think most Members, people in HAP and

RAS, we have significant people registering or becoming potentially or at risk of homelessness and (inaudible). It's a significant workload. But we are dependent, as I say we are dependent on HAP. (Inaudible). With the best effort in the world because people will liaise and that is with fair wind. So far there might be more emphasis on HAP and RAS but the balance might change towards HAP.

Housing purchase loans, yes. I think we issued, I think we issued some of that just before that. The background for this is there, and there's a national scheme. It is very dependent on -- we stress test each application. So we complete the form -- and the housing agency ensuring that people do not go back to the old system where they're not able to borrow. There is a committee each. Approval will be positive, negative. It does go through a committee here in the County Council. But the housing agency stress test is probably one of the biggest criteria. If people can't afford the loans, they will not be given the loans, it's as simple as that. There is criteria for the housing agency. Affordability is the main one.

As regards then, I think it's as regards the homeless,
yes. This year between -- look, depending on one scheme. They might have I suppose January/February. We reckon we'11 have 120, over 120 new units into our system. That's important. That's new ones. Any
purchase will be well over 150. In 2019 we will double that. There will be at least 240,250 into the system. So we are getting there but we will need to be dependent on HAP and RAS. Even, even if we did housing we would still be depending on -- first of all people they're quite happy until that system also.

CATHAO RLEACH Okay. Michae1, do you want to come up here now. Grand, thank you, Joe. Like what C11r. Behan was saying, particularly in the situation in regard to Kilbride, I'd like to compliment the Housing Department in regard to that. They put an awful lot, an awful lot of work into that. It was a difficult type of thing to do and certainly the best result has happened in that and certain7y the quickest from our point of view could have set it back quite a considerable amount of time. I want to thank him on al1 our behalf in regard to that. Michael?

## PRESENTATI ON BY MR GEANEY

MR. GEANEY: Now, the (inaudible) foundations are scheduled to be put in there before Christmas and the contract is about to go up to tender for the particular job, and works will commence in earnest in 2019. Once the scheme starts I'11 organise regular updates and give them to the Bray Municipal Districts so that they're all aware of what's happening.

Just on the, on the public lighting, there will be an
upgrade, a national upgrade of public lighting. That will start at the end of 2019 and it should commence right through, it will work right through 2020. At the moment wicklow County Council are carrying out surveys of the, all the existing lights and it will be ready when the national contract will come into play, so we'11 be ready to join that.

Just to say on public lighting at the moment, we have, as we speak this morning there's 501 issues, 501 lights out countywide. Now they're equally distributed really between the five Municipal Districts. There are a number as well that need the ESB networks to work. Now in fairness there's a small number, there's about 20 of the lights at the ESB networks as well.

We are trying, we are trying to push along everything and manage this carefully. I'm not satisfied that enough is being done by the contractor to keep the lights lighting but I'11 endeavour to, you know to keep on top of it and manage the thing so that all lights, that there's a small amount of time between when the light goes out, when the light is repaired. The figure last year about this time was similar. It went up to a maximum last year of 600 in January.

Just as I have the opportunity I'd like to thank all the District staff for all their responses over the year, to Emma and recently to Callum to that particular
episode, and also all the routine work that are being carried out during the year and all the roadworks programme on board, maintenance and roadworks, they're all listed there in the budgetary, under the budgetary pack on page 15 and page 16 , just I'd like to thank all the District staff for all their work.

CATHAO RLEACH Okay, c11r. Cullen, briefly now, because you should have been in earlier.
MR. S. CULLEN Just first of all I want to compliment Michael and all the road staff on the amount of work
that has been done. I mean it's obviously they're looking at the programme that, you know the restoration of works throughout the county. There's a lot, a lot of work done. I just want to mention the Community Involvement scheme and the EILS Scheme which I think again the funding opportunities that have be given to communities and people around the, around the country need to be recognised.

Just I suppose on the down side, I believe there is an issue with safety, particular on our rural and regional roads with regards to overhanging trees. I know it's the responsibility of landowners to cut their hedges and by and large there's a lot of that being done, but there's certainly roads, particular in rural areas, that need attention from large overhanging trees. I think it's something that needs to be addressed.

I suppose Michael has just said it recently there on
public lighting. It has come up at our Municipal District level in delays in getting contractors to deal with repairs. I think the delays are too, they're too long. Again needs to be addressed.
Finally, the low cost safety works and realignment works at Calary on the R755, I think to be honest it's regrettable that this work has taken over a year to complete. I think it's one of the busiest roads in co. wicklow. I think it's totally unacceptable that it's taken so long.

Just to follow on from that, there are traffic lights that have been put in position on, again on the same road on the top of what is known as the Long Hill up from kilmacanogue. I just want to make sure that these ${ }^{10: 57}$ works are going to be done quickly and there's not going to be the same delays because, as I said, it's probably one of the busiest roads in our county and I don't think we need the delays that we've had from last year.
CATHAO RLEACH Okay. C11r. Walsh, briefly now please and then I'm getting answers and I'm moving on then. CLLR. WALSH Just in relation to the management car parking, Cathaoirleach, Wicklow parking by-laws were adopted in the meeting here on the 12th June 2017. I think from my understanding they're subject to review after 12 months. I'm just wondering how that is going to come out? Is it done at a District level? will it be done here in the chamber or countywide?

CATHAO RLEACH okay.
CLLR WALSH There are issues, you know we have local issues and I'd like to revisit just a couple here and there. So we'd just like the opportunity to review those.

CATHAO RLEACH okay.
CLLR MALSH Thanks.
CATHAO RLEACH Then Michael and then we're moving on, okay.
MR. GLEESON Yes. First, on the, on the loca1
approvement schemes, a further allocation of 358,000 was given in October for local improvement schemes. This is because wicklow County Council completed works by the end of July on the first tranche. On the, on the calary jobs, on the calary jobs, surfacing was, was 10:58 done last week on that and it's going to, fencing and crash barriers are going to be put in place now this, before Christmas and this weekend, next week maybe.

Now, the road itself will not be opened until, it won't ${ }^{10: 58}$ be opened until about March because the final surface won't be done until then. Just regarding the, the long, the old Long Hill in kilmacanogue, this is a separate job that needs to be done. So funding is in place to do that and works are ongoing and will continue until it's completed.

On the parking by-7aws, that's a countywide, that needs to come back to this chamber here to be reviewed, just
to say that, but if any of the Municipal Districts have particular issues, they should put them together and discuss them at municipal District level before they're gone to this chamber.
CATHAO RLEACH Okay. Moving on --
CLLR FORTUN: Chairman, sorry.
CATHAO RLEACH Sorry.
CLLR. FORTUN: Can I just make a comment on a point of --

CATHAO RLEACH Just briefly now because I had you in there earlier on, yes.

CLLR. FORTUN: No, no --
CATHAO RLEACH Yes, okay. No, okay, fine. Go ahead. CLLR. FORTUN: Because it might be more appropriate though if some Members, when you come back to them asking the question after the Director has addressed issues because it might be --
CATHAO RLEACH Ah no, listen, I'm easy enough on that if they're brief enough, right.
CLLR. FORTUN: Because for us to ask questions before the Director says anything is a bit kind of --

CATHAO RLEACH Ah yes, okay, if it's necessary it can happen, right, okay. okay, we're moving on. we're going on to $C$ and $E$ now, the waters and the Environmental Services.

MR. GLEESON Okay. The planned expenditure for water Services is 7.5 million with income of 6.9. They're in the booklet. Reference pages 86 and 101 to 102 . In relation to Environmental Services, the expenditure
planned is 12.7 million with income of 12.1 , and the reference pages are 88 and 106 to 108.

CATHAOI RLEACH Okay. Okay, C11r Behan?
CLLR. BEHAN Yes, Cathaoirleach, first of all can I get a clear unambiguous statement from the Chief Executive that there will not be any proposal during the coming year to introduce charges at the Council Recycling Centre.
CATHAO RLEACH okay.
CLLR. BEHAN Secondly, I note that the Council is now proposing to abandon the collection, the mobile collection in West Wicklow. That was an issue that came up, I think, a year ago and I'm not sure what local Members, if they've been consulted on that but I'd just like to know what the basis for that proposal is and whether it's actually the right way forward in the west of the county, thank you.
CATHAO RLEACH okay. Thank you C11r. Blake? CLLR. BLAKE: Yes, sorry, I'd like to see that actually the money for the (inaudible) facility is being maintained anyway, but as C11r. Behan has said there, there is a proposal to phase out the inflation charges in the (inaudible) area and that's clearly a concern both for ourselves and in the Baltinglass area.

Just two other questions then; maintenance at burial grounds, I just want to know would that be distributed among the Districts, the five Districts. Secondly, in relation to, on page 86 , the $C O$ file in relation to the

Administration of Group and Private Installations, Water Schemes I presume and Sewerage Scheme, I just see two different figures there, 346 and 308 , I always thought that those, those costs came from Central Administration, or Central Government. I'm just wondering why there's a discrepancy there between the two figures. Thanks, Chairman.
CATHAO RLEACH Okay. C11r. Ruttle?
CLLR. RUTTLE: Yes, Cathaoirleach. Any cutback on the mobile collection in the Blessington area, Blessington

CLLR. D. O BRIEN Thanks, Cathaoirleach. Really only to see the climate adaptation outlook for the environment services, but I'd love to hear just a little bit more about what the, the staff involved in
that and how that will roll out.
CATHAOL RLEACH Okay, grand. Cllr. Thornhill?
CLLR THORNH LL: Yes, Cathaoirleach, just first say, and I haven't said it earlier on, that I'm very
thankful to everyone here, to the whole effort because this is a big thing in relation to the budget and I also would like to say thanks very much to the Financial Officer, Brian Gleeson, who I was talking to during the week, and we were going through some figures. Just I would concur as well with C11r. Behan in relation to housing issues, and, you know, that $I$ would just like to bring up but I don't know is this the right time or not?
CATHAO RLEACH We're gone from Housing now. If it's Housing we're gone from that.
CLLR. THORNH LL: No, it's an environmental issue. CATHAO RLEACH Yes, we11 that's fine. We're on Environment and Water Services.

CLLR. THORNHLL: Yes, that's exactly, that's what I wanted, just wanted to bring up.
CATHAO RLEACH okay.
CLLR. THORNHLL: It's just something in relation to a matter that has been brought to my attention. It would be, if you recall that fire out there in windgates, the cliff walk, you know it's appropriate I should bring it 11:04 up. You know in June, you know, we had a very dry summer and the whole lot but there was a fierce fire out there, you know like, and I'm sure people, you know like the likes of California weather you know like.

Some of the people out there had been on to me, you know. The lack of services out there. There's no hydrants because some of the fire brigades had to go back down to Greystones to get water, you know to put out the fire. Also I had been talking to the fire officer in relation to the matter. Some of the people out there were talking to me about that there should have been some fire breaks, you know. It's something very very important because at the end of the day, I mean some of the people out there, you know we're so concerned. I have, you know, one family home with just really from destruction. You know like I mean, this was catastrophic at the time --
CATHAOI RLEACH okay.
CLLR. THORNH LL: -- and something should be --
CATHAO RLEACH That's fair enough.
CLLR. THORNH LL: So I think that there should be a provision made for this matter, you know, in the future because this is something that could really get out of hand.

CATHAO RLEACH Okay. No, that's fine. C11r. Sne11? CLLR. SNELL: Thanks, Cathaoirleach. Under Section C in regard to Water Services, I just want clarity around the service agreement between Wicklow County Council and Irish water, and what is the income generated from Irish Water using facilities within the Council Buildings. Under Section E, Environmental Services, the maintenance of burial grounds. I do welcome that there is an increase but it's an increase of $€ 10,000$.

I just want clarity around, is that specifically for the maintenance of the graveyards or does it go into the administration? Just to highlight, Cathaoirleach, that there's 48 active graveyards within the county so 10,000 is very very smal1 in comparison to maintenance of 48 cemeteries.

CATHAO RLEACH okay. Grand, okay. Let's finish then. In regard to just myself in regard to the columbarium walls, that there is an issue that $I$ have with that and I didn't like the wording in that, that it looked like there could be a change of policy in regard to columbarium which I'm absolutely totally opposed to. We have money in Bray and have had from the previous Council in regard to this and we're at a very advance level in providing the first columbarium wall in Co. Wicklow. So I think that's a policy that has to be discussed at the SPC, but from my point of view that wall that is well on its way now in Bray will go ahead. I think in certain areas throughout the county that that provision, that that service should be provided because there's a huge amount of burials in regard to columbarium walls throughout the country now. So I think it's a service that we need to provide for the community out there as well, and I just want to the official to be well aware of that as well.

Now I'm going to let in Michael. We're finished now on those two programmes, so I'll let in Michael in regard to this. okay.

MR. GEANEY: I'11 just deal with the water end of it and the fire end of it, Chairman.
CATHAO RLEACH okay.
MR. NCHOLSON (Inaudible) will be dealing with the, with the rest of the environment issues.

CATHAO RLEACH Yes, okay.
MR GEANEY: Just on, on the income, the first item, there was a question raised, I think it was by cllr. Snell on the income from Irish water. That includes a 1.32 million figure, what they call a central management charge. That includes all other costs that are not direct costs to Irish water, you know for, from buildings and so on, and also Head office Administration and all the rest there.

Just on firebreaks in Windgates and that, yes, I'11 certainly look at that. Now it would be a matter for the, for the people that own the land, for the landowners to provide firebreaks themselves in, you know around their houses, and also if a person's house is surrounded by say lands that are owned by somebody else, yes, we'd look from the legal point of view and see if some notice could be serviced on people in order to provide, in order for them to provide a firebreak so as to protect property. That's about all I could say, 11:09 all I could say on that.

Now, theres' down on the windgates Roads because of the number of people there, I'll have a look and see if
there is a hydrant and I'm not just sure of the details. I will find out what the story on that is because I know obviously they have water so whatever the case is on that I will find out. Okay.
CATHAO RLEACH okay. In regard to the columbarium walls? Have you anything on that?
MR. GEANEY: Yes, Sean will be able to -CATHAO RLEACH Sean will deal with that, okay. So we're moving on now to Development management.
Mb. GLEESON Cathaoirleach, sorry --
CATHAO RLEACH Sorry, Environment Sean is going to -MR GLEESON $I$ just might add just on the fire services, there was a provision included of 35,000 for hazardous equipment which would include protective clothing to fight wild fires, so we have addressed that 11:10 to a certain degree.
CATHAO RLEACH Okay. Sorry, Sean.

## PRESENTATI ON BY MR QU RKE

MR. QU RKE: Yes. So the columbarium walls, the review is not really about the (inaudible) but we've looked at some of the columbarium wall designs that are out there and they probably won't be appropriate for all our burial grounds so, yes, the wording could have been better on that. Yes, I know Bray are advanced on it but some of them are more like lockers nearly and they're not, you know they need to be appropriate and they need to be respectful obviously for the relatives
of people who will be putting ashes there. So they will go ahead but the design is what we want to look at.

With regard to public conveniences, most of the increase there relates to the cost of operating APCs, the Automatic Public Conveniences. They're a loss maker obviously. We can't make any money out of them. So we have them in Enniskerry and in wicklow, Greystones and Blessington. The cost of those have gone up a few thousands in most cases.

The other issue, oh the mobile recycling for the west. we've made provision for the expenditure again but we're having a lot of problems with it because of people leaving domestic refuse and it's costing us an awful lot of money to try and monitor that. We've had to put our own litter wardens out to try and stop that.

So with the rollout of private and the obligation on private suppliers to collect recycling as well, we're hoping that, that we can reduce that and control the issue which is basically a litter problem it comes down to. You know we're anxious to keep the service provided but, as I say, it's not without its, without its difficulties and costs.

The other issue I think was the grant for private supplies. We don't get a hundred percent of them.

That's just a shortfall between what we get back, what it all costs.

CATHAO RLEACH Okay. On to Development.
CLLR. BEHAN: Chairman, before we --
CATHAO RLEACH Sorry?
CLLR. BEHAN Can we just get clarification from the Chief Executive about this business about charging in the Recycling Centre.
CATHAO RLEACH Oh absolutely, yes.
MR. CURRAN Yes, okay. No, there's nothing in here for charging in the Recycling Centre so we're budgeted on no charge. I mean if we were to change that or make any changes to the Recycling Centres, and I suppose we need to look at improving them in the long run, that would go through the SPC in the first instance and then 11:12 come back to the, back to the Council.

Can I just mention the adaptation scheme as well Cllr. O'Brien mentioned? That's something we're required to do and we have set up a team in-house that would look at how we adapt a climate change, how we look at our emergency response, and we have a lot of experience now built up between Storm Emma and Storm Ophelia, how we look at coastal protection. There is regional groups set up a shared service to provide to advise all local authorities on that, so we'11 be feeding into that.

That same group then, we'11 be looking at the Council and how we look at our energy. So for instance, there
will be a contract going out to replace all our public lights with LED lights. Now that will be subject to the approval of the Council again, but we need a loan of something like 8.5 million. But with a view to starting the lights in -- we'11 be on for the end of 2019 and all through 2020, so all lights will be replaced. That will make a big difference in terms of our CO2 emissions. Then we're looking at all our buildings in terms of energy upgrades as well.

I take the point C11r. Behan made about the light. It is a different type of light. It's more intense and it doesn't cover a wider area, so that's something we'11 have to look at. Now it may require people getting used to it but it is something. It's a fair point and I think that's something we'11 have to look at. Thanks.

MR. QU RKE: And part of the problem is I suppose if you were putting up any leads for, you know poles are at set distances, and the LED doesn't always cover the distance that the, that the old light did, but they're more energy efficient.
CLLR. BEHAN I wonder would it be possible for the engineer who is dealing with it, it's Mr. Sean, is it, o'brien, is it?
MR. QU RKE: Declan o'brien.
CLLR. BEHAN Declan O'brien. would it be possible for him, even have a quick show of the one I'm talking about, it's in Bray, to get it before we spend a lot of
money?
CATHAO RLEACH Okay, that's a fair enough point, yes. CLLR. BEHAN You know just to see it at night.
CATHAO RLEACH okay. I think that's very important, yes. Just three Councillors now very briefly now because I gave you an opportunity earlier on, they didn't come in. C11r. whitmore?
CLLR. WH TMDRE: Thanks very much, Chair. Just a quick question about the whitestown dump. At one stage it was reported that we were going to have a remediation cost of 35 million and I'm just wondering, Sean, is there any update on that dump and will there be any cost associated?

MR. QU RKE: On what's that?
CLLR. WH TMDRE: The whitestown dump.
CATHAO RLEACH Okay, fine, okay. C11r. Ruttle?
CLLR. RUTTLE: Yes, just on the mobile collection clarification and the Director, is he saying that you've allocated or provided a continuous --
CATHAO RLEACH Yes.
CLLR. RUTTLE: -- for this year in the (inaudible)? CATHAO RLEACH Yes, yes.

CLLR. RUTTLE: And the other issue is that on the columbarium issue, it doesn't have to be the wall format you know.
MR. QURKE: No, that's exactly the point.
CLLR. RUTTLE: It doesn't have to be, and I know graveyards where it's the pavement system where you don't have to put in a decision at all but yet it looks

CATHAO RLEACH Okay, that's fine. That's good. CLLR. RUTTLE: Because $I$ have queries in that. CATHAOI RLEACH Yes. Okay, grand. C11r. Walsh and then, cllr. Walsh and then we're moving in -- yes, okay.

CLLR. WALSH Just one issue, it probably refers to the last section as well, the issue around the CCTV cameras that we have installed across the county. We have them at the recycling centres and all other areas.
CATHAO RLEACH Yes.
CLLR. WALSH From time to time they get problems, you know they don't work for whatever reason. There's an issue in that around maintenance and the costs around maintenance. There isn't a specific budget for that. Certainly we've more and more cameras and more and more relevance. So I would like to see a specific fund, you know, in relation to this, maintenance of CCTV going forward.

CATHAO RLEACH okay.
CLLR. MALSH That's something I would have asked earlier at previous meetings.

CATHAO RLEACH okay.
MR. QU RKE: Yes, there isn't a specific budget. It's just with regard to whitestown, we have consultants and 11:16 we'11 be going out for tenders to our site investigation works. They're doing their surveys at the moment and so on for the remediation works and we will keep that updated. We actually should have a
website going live in the next few weeks. That's just technical problems.
CATHAO RLEACH Keep the Members informed of all the details in regard to that, okay. Grand, we're moving on to Development Management.

MR. GEESON Development Management, the expenditure planned is 12 million; income, 5.6 million and the reference in the report is page 87 and 103 to 105.
CATHAO RLEACH okay. If there are no questions on Development Management, are we moving on? Everyone is happy? okay.

CLLR. BLAKE: Sorry, sorry, Chairman.
CATHAO RLEACH Sorry, I knew this was going to happen. Yes, C11r. Blake?
CLLR. BLAKE: Yes. In regards to the -- this is where maybe $I$ asked the question earlier on about the staff, staffing levels.
CATHAO RLEACH Yes.
CLLR. BLAKE: So I'm giving him the opportunity here now to bring up the staffing leve1. There's an
increase in the estimates for the library service.
CATHAO RLEACH Yes.
CLLR. BLAKE: So, is the library service that when we have a problem, we had a problem for a lot of years anyway in terms of is it being addressed in this
budget?
CATHAO RLEACH okay.
CLLR. BLAKE: Additional staff there in that regard. CATHAO RLEACH Grand.

CLLR. BLAKE: There was operations -- a lot of money there. Can you just give me some idea of what page are you actually on in terms of where we actually spend all that money?

CATHAO RLEACH Okay. C11r. Sne11?
CLLR. SNELL: Thanks, Cathaoirleach. Just the wording in regards to the increase in the building control inspection costs. I think that's to be welcomed in regards to the development that's taken place at the moment. I would just like a little bit of information in regards to invalid applications, 258. While that has nothing to do with the local authority, the onus is on the applicant and their agencies.

CATHAO RLEACH Yes.
CLLR. SNELL: Just is there a recurring reason why applications that sticks out more so than others? Another thing which is very surprising to me, and it's something that Members here when they be talking to people throughout the country, they would always hear the negative around the planning which is very unfair, but they acknowledge that $88.7 \%$ of applications are granted within wicklow.
CATHAO RLEACH Yes, okay. Yes, we'11 get that for you. C11r. Fortune?
CLLR. FORTUNE: Thanks, Cathaoirleach. Just a question 11:19 of planning enforcement. when a development, when the (inaudible) is finished, do we physically go out and audit, audit, that everything has been done as per the application in all its detail, and what's prompted me
to kind of ask the question is, there's a situation going on in Holywell, kilcoole for the last number of years in regards to the way the development has been uncompleted in certain aspects. There's almost, as I say, a massive full file at the moment here querying various things on it.

So I had another query yesterday from a man who are wondering about elements of the estate that they're in that hasn't been finished. Now I'm told, and I couldn't understand myself that obviously before we would take the particular development into control, we check all that out.
CATHAO RLEACH we do, yes.
CLLR. FORTUN: But there just seems to be a lack of clarity and what we do -- -

CATHAO RLEACH Okay no, that's fine. okay, we'11 get that for you. Yes, okay. C1lr. Shay Cullen?
CLLR. S. CULLEN Yes, thanks, Chairman. Just one quick question on economic development promotion. I just noticed on page 2 of the document here with the general allocation, economic and development promotion, in particular for park loads, 28,000 compared to wicklow's 7,000. I'm just wondering what the explanation is for that?

CATHAO RLEACH I asked about that as well. There is an explanation for that. Yes, grand. we'11 get that for you now. Cllr. Walsh?
CLLR WALSH Thanks, Cathaoirleach. Just in relation
to the county development plan and the spatial and economic strategy figures in the draft report that we got there recently, I know it says here we have to do, carry out a review of the development plan in 2018 and 2019. I'm just wondering what impact this would have on our local area plans. I know our one in particular as far as in 2019 we should be working on it at this point in the new term. I'm just wondering what sort of impact the overall situation would have in the local areas?

CATHAO RLEACH Okay. Councillor Nicola Lawless? CLLR. LAVEESS: Thanks, Cathaoirleach. Just one question, just on page 113, unfinished housing estates. I'm just wondering what actually is involved in that? It's quite low so is it just repairs or what it is, just an explanation on it. Thanks, Cathaoirleach. CATHAO RLEACH Yes, okay. That's fine. That's grand. Sean?

MR. QU RKE: Yes. Now, just to confuse you totally at this stage, in D you could have michael Nicholson, Michael Geaney, Tom Murphy and myself, that's just the way it is.
CATHAO RLEACH Yes. There's some issues that Michae1 Nicholson will answer when he comes up here as well relative to the leisure and swimming pools and stuff like that. So he'11 answer them when he comes up here, okay.

MR. QU RKE: In relation to the planning end of it, I suppose the main questions were, well if you start from
the top down, the county development plan will need to be reviewed as a result of the spatial and economic strategy which should be adopted by next --
CATHAO RLEACH March.
MR. QU RKE: March, April.
CATHAO RLEACH March, yes.
MR. QU RKE: Maybe something like that.
CATHAO RLEACH Yes.
MR. QU RKE: And that we will review our core strategy.
That will have a knock on effect for the local area
plans. Some of them will need to be extended where that's possibly so that they could be reviewed in line with the county development plan. So that will affect the time frame. But our major piece of work for next year will be to review the county development plan. We 11:22 also have to look at the wicklow plan because that's the former Council plan which can be extended, and the new town plan we're looking at as well. We'll have a meeting on that today, workshop for anyone that's interested.

The completed and taking in charge, we have an excellent enforcement section I have to say and we do refer cases for legal action where no other resolution can be found. The numbers that we have for legal action are reducing over the years from 15 in 2015 and down to 14 so far in 2018.

The unfinished housing estates, we do go out and survey
them and so on. Where there are bonds, we call in the bonds and spend that money. So we have about six ongoing at the moment in all areas. That takes up a considerable amount of time as well. There are compliance issues that each developer has to, there would be conditions on the planning application and each developer has to attend those. But in cases where the developer has gone and so on, that leaves legacy issues for us.

The invalid planning applications, it's -- making a planning application is a very technical operation at this stage. It can be for any number of reasons. But similarly I suppose it's the most challenged area from a legal point of view. We have to be sure that we get everything right when a planning application comes in because if it doesn't, it will be reviewed or the decision could be overturned. So the number is relatively high.

We will have some agents that are better than others, I think it's fair to say that. Some that to be honest, some of what comes in can be sloppy, and no matter how many times we corrected it, it continues to happen. I'm not sure what the answer to that is. You know it's 11:25 not that they're not aware what the provisions of the Planning Acts are and it's not that they haven't been told, but it does happen. So, yes, I think they are the issues remaining.

CATHAOI RLEACH okay.
MR. CURRAN I just mention the library staff, there is a workforce plan agreed with the unions and with the Department. So the plan is to bring it up to that leve1. So I think they will be any issues like we had in the past. A few of them are first fillings and they will be internal competitions. The rest will be advertised.

Just on the building control, yes, we now have a full complement, a senior executive engineer and a technician in place. So again it's self-compliance through the B card, the Building Control Amended Regulations. People apply online. They have responsibility themselves and we obviously make sure everything is in order. Again a few teething problems with agents and we have provided training for them so that the number of invalid applications will decrease. MR. GLEESON Just in relation to Cllr. Cullen's query regarding the economic development figure for Arklow, that actually relates to the Roundabout Sponsorship Scheme that they have in place. So it's actually money they're bringing in themselves. There's 21,000. They've sponsored out all eight roundabouts in Arklow and they're bringing in 21,000 in relation to that particular scheme. So they're not being favoured and getting additional money. They're actually bringing in the money themselves, okay.
CATHAO RLEACH C11r. Dunne and walsh, you should have
been in earlier there. Honestly, honestly C11r. Dunne briefly and then Cllr. Walsh.

CLLR. DUNE: Just on the point what Sean said there about some of the applicants coming in, some of the agents working on, the only people this is costing is the people who are applying for planning permission, and some of it leaves a lot to be desired. I think it's terrible to think that they have to come back in. So it's a terrible situation. Thank you, Chairman.
CATHAOL RLEACH Okay. Cl1r. Walsh?
CLLR. WALSH Sorry, Cathaoirleach, I should have mentioned earlier, the development contributions and I see that over 4.7 million was collected on the 30 th September 2018, but there's also a reference there to individuals who haven't or haven't paid and they're monitoring, $I$ think, 826 individuals prior to, on developments prior to 2018. I'm just wondering where we are with that? There's also a significant amount of money still outstanding.
CATHAO RLEACH Okay, C11r. Annesley and then we're moving on.

CLLR. ANESLEY: Yes, thank you, Cathaoirleach. I just recommended that other Districts look at the sponsorships. This is a great initiative that started in Arklow, so that's where all the extra funding is to have a look at that because in fairness a lot of the Wicklow people said to me, why can't wicklow look after their own like the Arklow? So maybe you might look
into that.
CATHAO RLEACH C11r, C11r, we11 done on that because I enquired about that too because it stuck out like a sore thumb and that was the answer I got, so well done in regard to getting that anyway, okay. okay, now we're moving on. The last three I'm going to take together, $\mathrm{F}, \mathrm{G}$ and H , Recreation Amenity, Agriculture, Health, Welfare and Miscellaneous Services. Michael? MR. CURRAN Sorry, the outstanding development contract --

CATHAO RLEACH Sorry, sorry, go ahead. Go ahead. MR. CURRAN No, again we have people in Planning following that up all the time. Again there's various developers. We look at payment plans so that people pay us, houses are being occupied. Ultimately it will end up in the courts if people don't pay, but, you know, there is, there is people on that all the time. CATHAO RLEACH Okay, grand. Al1 right. Brian? MR. GLEESON AS Cathaoirleach said we'11 take the last three divisions together. The first one, Recreational Amenity. Planned expenditure, 8 and a half million; income of approximately a million, and the pages in the booklet, 89, 109 to 110. Agriculture, education, health and welfare, expenditure 1.7 million . Income of just over 800,000 , and in the report the reference pages 90 and 111 to 112. Miscellaneous Services, the last one, expenditure, 12.7 million, income of 8.3 and the booklet reference 91 and pages 113 to 115. Thank you.

CATHAO RLEACH Okay. Anyone want to come in there? Nobody? Okay. I'11 1et in Michae1 in regard to, I think there was a query in regard to swimming pools and stuff like that. Outdoor leisure.

## PRESENTATI ON BY MR. N CHOLSON

MR. NCHOLSON The question was asked by -- it's on page 109 under the programme FO3. It covers parks, open spaces, playgrounds, things like that. Page 109 under the FO3.

CATHAO RLEACH Okay, any other queries?
CLLR. BLAKE: Just can I ask on that?
CATHAOI RLEACH Sorry, yes, C11r --
CLLR. BLAKE: The parks and open space 1.26 milition and 11:30 the playgrounds as well, where is that money being actually spent? where is the form to spend it on? MR. NCHOLSON Chairman, I don't have parks, open space myself. It was spent in each Municipal District. As regards to the pay accounts, there's an allocation
given for each playground. Roughly $€ 5,000$ per playground in each District, so if you've got 10 playgrounds you'11 have 50 grand. There's also capital contribution there where we built up capital reserve for new playgrounds. There's the beaches expenditure also in that, the money spent on having lifeguards et cetera.

CLLR. BLAKE: So there is rough7y about 5,000 for each playground for maintenance.

MR. NCHOLSON 5,000 roughly for each playground in each.

CLLR. BLAKE: For maintenance?
MR. NCHOLSON Yes.
CATHAO RLEACH okay.
MR. CURRAN Can I just come in there? A lot of that expenditure would be staffing costs that would be charged towards the maintenance, the local district staff working in those areas.

CATHAO RLEACH Okay. A11 right. Anything else there? No. That's completes our budget. In regard to this, I think it's fair to say that it's been a fairly decent budget. I want to thank the financial staff that have worked really hard to provide this.

Like all budgets, it's not that easy. Everyone wants to do a lot of things and various cuts, not cuts but efficiencies had to be made over the last few weeks as well to bring in the budget that we have together. Also the fact is that there's significant increases in services in provision of employment in the libraries in the fire services as well in this budget as well. There has been no cuts in it whatsoever, and certainly from my point of view $I$ think it's been, it's been a very good budget and it's been well put together and I want to thank on all our behalf the finance staff that was worked extremely hard the last couple of months in regard to this.

I want to put it to the floor in regard to anyone that wants to make a proposal in regard for, to the adoption of the budget. Nobody?
CLLR. BLAKE: Can I come back, sorry, Chairman --

CATHAO RLEACH Yes.

CLLR. BLAKE: You said that before --
CATHAO RLEACH We11 okay, if we want to get that before. I was going to take that after the vote fore the --

CLLR. BLAKE: I've no problem.
CATHAO RLEACH Okay, no, that's fine. I'11 ask Brian to come in on that. Then if nobody wants to, I will make a proposal. I'11 make a proposal myself in regard to this, all right.
CLLR. BLAKE: Sorry, do you want the proposal for the adoption of the budget?

CATHAOI RLEACH No, no, no because I just want one small adjustment to it. I'm prepared to put it up to Members if I can get agreement on it, and then I'11 -if you want Brian to come in first.

CLLR. BLAKE: Yes, can I ask about, just the revaluation, Brian.
CATHAO RLEACH Yes, if Brian comes in first and we'11 query that and then I'11 make a proposal, or maybe

C11r. Fitzgerald might. Okay, grand.
CLLR. BLAKE: And the staffing levels -CATHAO RLEACH okay, grand.
MR. GLEESON Okay. Wel1 the new valuations that, under the Revaluation Scheme will issue next September 2019 and then will come into force for the following year in January 2020. The first quarter, there will be an indicative valuation that will be issued to all rate payers early next year. The valuation office have indicated that they are going to come down and organise 11:34 walk-in clinics in each of the Municipal Districts, that rate players can come in and look for an explanation of the changes. They're also planning on coming down probably in the first quarter as well to give an overview to the Councillors here in the chamber 11:34 in one of our monthly meetings.

CATHAO RLEACH okay.
CLLR. BLAKE: Chairman, I certainly do welcome the walk-in, where people can walk into it. what does that actually entail? Do you make an appointment or do you

MR GLEESON No, it's basically, they just come here. They'11 be here for the day. They'11 obviously, they'11 have their figures at that stage and if they don't understand it or they want rationale behind whether it's, I suppose most people that will be coming in it will be people whose rates will have gone up, their valuation will have gone up rather than the ones that had a decrease. So it will be giving an
explanation explaining the rationale behind the increase.

CLLR BLAKE: I do welcome it but our experience from other counties, there has been serious issues in other counties where it has been done so I do welcome the actual walk-in where people are engaged in that regard. CATHAO RLEACH okay. CLLR. BLAKE: The other question then is about the staffing. What extra outdoor staff are we going to actually have?
MR. CURRAN okay. Cathaoirleach, the plan is to, to bring the outdoor staff up to the level that they were. There's no change essentially, well a few extra. There's 15 GSSs that will remain. We want each GSS to have a (inaudible) at the moment. So some of the GOs will be upgraded there and we'11 have an internal competition for that.

We have 15 craft workers. We're looking for one extra there in relation to that. 24 drivers, plant
operators, that will remain the same. No change to the general operatives. We'11 be taking on three apprentices, at least three. One apprentice fitter for machinery yard and possibly two stonemasons, and maybe a (inaudible) as well. The non-national crews will remain the same. But in order to bring it back up, we'11 have to advertise. So we will be advertising in the coming weeks for a panel for general operatives.

Now, we will be ab1e to use that as a temporary panel as well, so if any of the area engineers have funding that they'11 get through say a town or village enhancement or outdoor recreation or discretionary funding, they will be able to take on people on a temporary basis to do that work, to do it by direct labour rather than by contract, so we will have that pane1 in place as well. So back up to the existing numbers and the panels in place then for temporary staff as well.

CATHAO RLEACH C11r. Fox?
CLLR. FOX: Chairman, we11 in relation to budgets, there has been a trend towards, more funding towards the Municipal Districts. Can I ask is that to continue as the same level of discretionary funding been allocated to the Municipal Districts because it really is a good way of money being spend locally because people now have an expectation when they pay their property tax that they will see real benefits on the ground in their local areas. So can I get an assurance, I think the levels last year were up to 2 million, I could be wrong on that.

CATHAO RLEACH No, 1.94 mil1ion, yes. CLLR. FOX: okay. CATHAO RLEACH You needn't worry, we'11 be up to 2 million very shortly $I$ can tell you.
CLLR. FOX: Can I get an assurance that that type of level of funding will be given as discretionary funding in Municipal Districts.

CATHAOI RLEACH Okay. C11r. Thornhil1.
CLLR. THORNH LL: Go raibh maith agat.
CATHAO RLEACH And then C11r. Bourke.
CLLR. THORNH LL: okay. It's just a question here for the Chief Executive in relation to outdoor staff. I would particularly like to ask in relation to the outdoor staff in Bray because an awful lot of work is not being done and there is talk about, you know the work process over the years. I mean are there any plans to increase workforce?

CATHAOI RLEACH Okay. C11r. Bourke?
CLLR. BOURKE: Yes, Cathaoirleach, this has been an excellent budget. I must say $I$ haven't identified any (inaudible) and one that I'd be very happy to propose if you're proposer. But one item that I see omitted and I'd like the manager to make a statement on it. Maybe I've missed it now, but there's nothing there about out policy for coastal protection and what we're doing to mitigate coastal protection. I wonder could a statement be made on that for the coming year.

CATHAO RLEACH Okay. C11r. Sne11 and C11r. Behan? CLLR. SNELL: Thanks, Cathaoirleach. Just in regards to the commercial rates and the harmonization process, could we get Brian to elaborate a little bit.
Obviously there's good and bad news in this. This was the process that was adopted in 2015. It just seems that while there's going to be a decrease of $2 \%$ next year for the broader Co. Wicklow are, the towns of Arklow, Wicklow and Bray will suffer an increase, 3\% in

Arklow and Wicklow and $2 \%$ for the town of Bray.

Brian was talking earlier in regards to the corporate policy group and the rates and central scheme for new businesses and that, and I think that's the type of information that maybe the general public would be looking for. If he could elaborate a little bit because there is a lot of businesses out there that will find it hard to take on board this increase. while it's a national policy, it is something that's forced on us. I do think it's important to let people know if there is incentives there for new businesses down the line.

CATHAO RLEACH Okay. Okay C11r. Behan?
CLLR. BEHAN Thanks, Chairman. Just two quick points. 11:39 In relation to the question $I$ asked at the beginning about the increase in rents --

CATHAO RLEACH Yes.
CLLR. BEHAN -- I want to be clear on this. what the Housing Director said was, it isn't an increase, it's a 11:40 review. What I took him to mean, and I want to know am I correct in this, it's a review to see are people's income details up-to-date et cetera rather than an actual rate increase, okay.
CATHAO RLEACH Yes, okay.
CLLR. BEHAN Because if that is the case, I can understand that's a kind of a, you know kind of a renewal process, or a review process. If that is the case, my concern as I said was the people who are on

HAP, I presume that people's details who are on HAP are pretty much up-to-date anyway. So is it the case that this may not result in a rent increase for people who are on HAP and RAS? I just want clarification on that. CATHAO RLEACH okay.
CLLR. BEHAN The second point is, Chairman, people have mentioned about other priorities they have and I've mentioned footpaths and (inaudible) having an increase in the level of money available to the Municipal District. Everybody here knows, and those of us who have been here at more than one Council over a five-year period know that when the officials present a budget they always present a budget that has fat in it that we haven't identified or that it's open to us to identify now. I certainly think C11r. Sne11 has mentioned it, cllr. Fox has mentioned it. We're all Member of Municipal Districts. I don't think anybody in any of the Municipal Districts would be the happy for people who live in them that we're not, that we're spending enough in those Districts. So I would urge the Executive and yourself, Chairman, to look at what additional funding can be given to the Municipal Districts on the phase whether it's for footpaths or something else, that the Councillors would actually decide themselves. But I do want to know in relation to the effect of this rent review on people on HAP and RAS.

CATHAO RLEACH Okay, fine. Brian, and then I'm going for a vote on this. I'm going for a proposal and a
vote on this.
MR. GEESON Just to confirm that rent review is a review of incomes so it is.

CLLR. BEHAN So it's not an increase? what about people on HAP and RAS?

MR. GLEESON Obviously if people's income increases obviously they might end up paying more rent. But then obviously that, that extra expenditure will be ring-fenced within the housing area for pre-letting repairs.

MR. CURRAN You see traditionally that would have been done every year, that you'd write out to people, see if there's any change in their details, and because it hasn't been done in a number of years there's going to be a bounce because people's incomes would have gone up. But there's no change in the rent scheme whatsoever. It's just getting the details.

CATHAO RLEACH okay.
MR. CURRAN I mean you're quite right, people who are on HAP would have recently applied, or applied in the last few years so unlikely to be a change from their point of view.

CATHAO RLEACH okay. Right, move back to proposal. Any proposals? No. Yes Cllr. Fitzgerald?
CLLR. FITZGERALD Yes. C11r. Fox mentioned there that 11:42 funding discretionary was (inaudible) and I'm proposing that. As per page 3 there, it is increased to the figure we had previously, 1.9 million. CATHAO RLEACH 2 million, yes.

CLLR. FITZGERALD: I think we've be extra -- in the Planning Section.
CATHAO RLEACH Yes. Do we have a seconder for that? CLLR. BLAKE: I'11 second that.

CATHAO RLEACH Okay, grand. Brian, in regard to that, 11:43 how this could be financed an extra 60,000. I've talked to the, to the Finance man about this. Brian, go ahead.
MR. GLEESON Rather than the planning area, I would identify maybe the parking ahead.

CATHAO RLEACH Sorry. Go ahead.
MR. GLEESON I'd identify the parking area D9.
There's possibly sufficient funding within their, in relation to increased income on the parking side and possibly additional savings with the new countywide
contract. So if the Members are in agreement with that particular area?

CATHAO RLEACH Agreement with that? okay. Grand, and a proposer and a seconder? okay. I'm going for a vote on this, I'm going for a vote on this. Thank you.
M5. GALLAGER: Okay. Proposed by C11r. Fitzgerald and seconded by C11r. Blake.

CATHAO RLEACH Yes.
MS. GALLAGER: C11r. Tommy Annesley?
CLLR ANNESLEY: For.
Mg. GALLAGER: C11r. Joe Behan?
CLLR. BEHAN For.
MS. GALLAGER: C11r. Vincent Blake?
CLLR. BLAKE: For.

ME. GALLAGER: C11r. Sylvestor Bourke?
CLLR BORRE: For.
ME. GALLAGER C11r. Shay Cullen?
CLLR S. CULLEN For.
M. GALLAGER C11r. Tommy Cullen? C11r. Pat Doran?

CLLR. DORAN For.
MG. GALLAGER C11r. Gail Dunne?
CLLR DUNE: For.
ME. GALLAGER: Cl1r. Pat Fitzgerald?
CLLR. FITZGEALD For.
ME. GALLAGER C11r. Tom Fortune?
CLLR FORTUNE: For.
M. GALLAGER: Cl1r. Christopher Fox?

CLLR. FOX: For.
M. GALLAGER C11r. Mary Kavanagh? c11r. Pat

Kennedy?
CLLR KENEDY: For.
M. GALLAGER C11r. Nicola Lawless?

CLLR LAVLESS: For.
ME. GALLAGER C11r. Stephen Matthews?
CLLR. MATTHEVG: For.
ME. GALLAGER: C11r. Mary McDonald?
CLLR MEDONLD For.
M. GALLAGER: C11r. Grainne McLoughlin? Cllr. Derek

Mitchell?
CLLR M TCFELL: For.
MS. GALLAGER: C11r. Miriam Murphy?
CLLR MRPHY: For.
MG. GALLAGER Cl1r. Daire Nolan?

CLLR. NOLAN For.
MS. GALLAGER: C11r. Oliver O'brien?
CLLR O O BRI EN: For.
MG. GALLAGER: Cllr. Dermot o'brien?
CLLR. D. O BRI EN: For.
M. GALLAGER: C11r. Gerry O'Neill?

CLLR. O NEI LL: For.
MG. GALLAGER: Cl1r. Jim Ruttle?
CLLR. RUTTLE: For.
ME. GALLAGER: C11r. John Ryan? C11r. John Sne11?
CLLR. SNELL: For.
MS. GALLAGER: C11r. Brendan Thornhil1?
CLLR. THORNH LL: For.
ME. GALLAGER C11r. Edward Timmins? C11r. Pat Vance?
CLLR. VANCE: For.
M. GALLAGER: Cl1r. Gerry Walsh?

CLLR. MALSH For.
MS. GALLAGER C11r. Jennifer whitmore?
CLLR. WH TMDRE: For.
MG. GALLAGER: C11r Irene Winters?
CLLR. WNTERS: For.
CATHAO RLEACH okay.
M5. GALLAGER: That's 27 For, and five not present. CATHAO RLEACH There's two more items then. I'11 let you in in a minute, C11r. Thornhil1. We have to vote 11:45 on the base year adjustment as we11. I think that's been explained by Brian there, and the vacancy relief as well. This is to give the relief to vacant properties that were recommending that a stay at $100 \%$.
okay, Brian, do you want to --
MR. GLEESON Okay. The first task is approving the base year adjustment for 2019. As mentioned earlier the harmonized ARV rate of 72.04 was adopted back in 2015. The base year adjustment provides for the phasing in of the increases and decreases on the annual rates, and 2019 is Year 5 and the final year of this harmonization process.

As such all rate payers will now have the same ARV of 72.04 applied in 2009, and therefore the base year adjustment will be zero in respect of 2019. As C11r. Sne11 pointed out, these are the last adjustments as part of the harmonization process. $3 \%$ increase will be in relation to the former Arklow Town Council and
Wicklow Town Council areas. The former Bray Town Council area we'11 see a $2 \%$ increase to bring it up to the 72.04. The remaining County Council areas will see a $2 \%$ decrease bringing it back down to that harmonized ARV rate.
CATHAD RLEACH okay. Do we have agreement on this?
Cl1r. Fox?
CLLR. FOX: I propose it.
CATHAO RLEACH Proposed it. Seconded by C11r. Walsh. Do we have agreement on this?
MS. GALLAGER: No, we'11 go for a vote, Cathaoirleach. CATHAO RLEACH Go for a vote, okay, grand.
MS. GALLAGER: C11r. Tommy Annesley?
CLLR. ANNESLEY: For.

ME. GALLAGER C11r. Joe Behan?
CLLR. BEHAN For.
MG. GALLAGER: cllr. Vincent Blake?
CLLR. BLAKE: For.
MG. GALLAGER C11r. Sylvestor Bourke?
CLLR. BOURKE: For.
ME. GALLAGER C11r. Shay Cullen?
CLLR S. CULLEN For.
M. GALLAGER C11r. Tommy Cullen? C11r. Pat Doran?

CLLR DORAN FOT.
MG. GALLAGER: C11r. Gail Dunne?
CLLR. DUNE: For.
MS. GALLAGER: Cl1r. Pat Fitzgerald?
CLLR FITZGRALD For.
ME. GALLAGER C11r. Tom Fortune?
CLLR FORTUNE: For.
M. GALLAGER C11r. Christopher Fox?

CLLR. FOX: For.
MG. GALLAGER C11r. Mary Kavanagh? c11r. Pat
Kennedy?
CLLR. KENNEDY: For.
M. GALLAGER C11r. Nicola Lawless?

CLLR LAVEESS: For.
MG. GALLAGER C11r. Stephen Matthews?
CLLR. MATTHEVG: FOr.
ME. GALLAGER: C11r. Mary McDonald?
CLLR. MEDONALD Against.
M. GALLAGER: C11r. Grainne McLoughlin? C11r. Derek Mitchell?

CLLR. M TCHELL: For.
Mg. GALLAGER: C11r. Miriam Murphy?
CLLR. MRPHY: For.
M. GALLAGER: Cl1r. Daire Nolan?

CLLR. NOLAN For.
ME. GALLAGER: C11r. Oliver O'Brien? C11r. oliver O'Brien?

CLLR O O BRI EN: For.
MG. GALLAGER: C11r. Dermot o'brien?
CLLR. D. O BRI EN For.
MS. GALLAGER: C11r. Gerry O'Neil1?
CLLR. O NEI LL: For.
MG. GALLAGER: Cl1r. Jim Ruttle?
CLLR. RUTTLE: For.
MS. GALLAGER: C11r. John Ryan? C11r. John Sne11?
CLLR. SNELL: For.
MS. GALLAGER C11r. Brendan Thornhil1?
CLLR. THORNH LL: For.
ME. GALLAGER: C11r. Edward Timmins? C11r. Pat Vance?
CLLR. VANCE: For.
M. GALLAGER: Cl1r. Gerry Walsh?

CLLR. WALSH For.
MS. GALLAGER: C11r. Jennifer whitmore?
CLLR. WH TMDRE: For.
MG. GALLAGER: Cl1r Irene Winters? C11r. Irene
Winters?
CLLR. WNTERS: For.
CATHAO RLEACH okay.
M5. GALLAGER: That is 26 For, 1 Against and 5 not
present.
CATHAD RLEACH The vacancy relief is, the vacancy relief is, that's a $100 \%$ in regard to this. Could I have a proposer and seconder for this, and do we have agreement on this? Proposing Sne11 and -- yes, and C17r --

ME. GALLAGER C11r. Bourke, is it?
CATHAO RLEACH Yes, Bourke, yes. Do I have agreement on this?

FROM THE FLOOR: Yes.
CATHAO RLEACH Right, okay. That's fine. That's it. Thank you very much. Oh sorry, Councillor Thornhill? Sorry, my fault. Councillor Thornhill?
CLLR. THORNH LL: Cathaoirleach, go raibh maith agat. Just one small point. I asked the, this is a question in relation to outdoor staff in Bray.

CATHAOI RLEACH Oh sorry, sorry.
MR. CURRAN No, sorry, as I said earlier, with the outdoor staff, we're advertising shortly for a panel for general operatives. So we're going to bring it up to the existing levels, right, the levels that we've agreed in the workforce plan. So that will be the first thing.

The second thing we'11 have then is a pane1 of staff that we can draw from on a temporary basis so we get funding for outdoor recreation or town or village, that the area engineer will be drawing on those, rather than going to (inaudible), to do it by direct labour. So
that ad is going in very shortly.
CATHAO RLEACH Okay. Lorraine wants to come in here with an announcement.

MS. GALLAGER: Yes. No, Cathaoirleach, just to say that I did email the elected Members on the 21st November. Members will be aware that the Minister for State for Local Government and Electoral Reform is conducting a review of the role and the remuneration of elected members, probably notified of this through the AILG. The Minister has appointed Sarah Morehead, Senior Counsel, to conduct this review, and a copy of the terms of references was circled to all elected Members.

So the Department and the Minister through Senior Counsel, it has extended an invitation to the elected Members to make a submission through this review using the guide guiding, being guided by the terms of reference. Also the Department will be issuing a survey to elected Members in the next probably two weeks about the role of remuneration of elected Members. Thank you, Cathaoirleach.

CATHAO RLEACH okay. Just also the Greystones and the Wicklow Members, will they stay in the chamber here. Sorcha wants to, to obviously meet with them in regard to the local area plans and in regard to that area if you stay in the meeting.

There's obviously dinner at half past 12 to 1 , okay,
grand. Listen, I want to thank all the Members for their help and cooperation. Thank you very much indeed. okay, thank you.
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| dazzling [2]-23:6, | determine [1] - 8:3 <br> developer [3] - 61:5, | disgrace [3]-21:15 | driver [1] - 45:13 | emergency [1] - |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { 23:8 } \\ & \text { deal }[9]-9: 23,21: 13, \\ & 22: 12,27: 11,34: 27, \end{aligned}$ |  | 22:10 | drivers [1] - 69:20 | $52: 22$ |
|  | developers [1] - | distance [1] - 53:21 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { driving [2] - 23:7, } \\ & 23 \cdot 14 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { emissions [1] - 53:8 } \\ & \text { Emma [2] - 39:29, } \end{aligned}$ |
|  |  | distances [1] - 53:20 | 23:14 | Emma [2]-39:29, |



| 33:1 | 13:1 | ground [3]-15.23, | 34:20 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { nding [36] - 4:26, } \\ & 5,6: 2,6: 4,6: 7, \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { generated [2] } \\ \text { 10:26, 47:25 } \end{gathered}$ | $31: 16,70: 2$ | $\text { dges }[1]-40: 23$ | $\begin{aligned} & 46: 11,59: 13,60: 2 \\ & 74: 9 \end{aligned}$ |
| ,6, 8:12, 9:12, 10: | Gerry [4] - 77:6, 77:16, 80:11, 80:21 given [6] - 37:21, 40:16, 42:12, 65:21, 70:28, 73:22 | 47:28, 50:25 <br> group [2]-52:28, | HELD [1] - 1:6 <br> help ${ }_{[1]}-83: 2$ <br> hidden [1]-33:2 | Housing [17] - 5:27,$9: 7,18: 3,20: 23,$ |
| 3, 11:5, 11:6, |  |  |  |  |
| 11:14, 11:16, 11:21, |  | $72: 4$ <br> Group [1]-45:1 |  | $\begin{aligned} & 24: 25,25: 13,25: 14, \\ & 26: 8,26: 29,30: 1, \end{aligned}$ |
| :23, 11:29, 12:4, |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { hidden }_{[1]}-33: 2 \\ & \text { high }_{[1]}-61: 19 \end{aligned}$ |  |
| 15:5, 25:5, 26:7, |  | Group [1] - 45:1 <br> groups [1]-52:24 | high [1] - 61:19 <br> highlight [2]-11:20, | $\begin{aligned} & 26: 8,26: 29,30: 1, \\ & 31: 4,34: 7,37: 10, \end{aligned}$ |
| 32:28, 40:16, 42:24, | 18 | GSS ${ }_{[1]}$ - 69:14 | 48:3 | 31:4, 34:7, 37:10, <br> 38:10, 46:14, 46:15, |
| 63:25, 70:2, 70:5, | $\begin{array}{\|c} \text { 22:22, } 26: 4,26: 7 \\ \text { glare }[1]-23: 15 \end{array}$ <br> GLEESON [18] - 2:7, | GSSs [1] - 69:14 guaranteed [1] - | $\begin{aligned} & \text { highlighted }[1] \text { - } \\ & \text { 12:19 } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline \text { 72:20 } \\ \text { HR }[2]-5: 27, ~ 11: 10 ~ \end{array}$ |
| 70:13, 70:15, 70:28, |  |  |  |  |
| 73:22, 74:26, 75:13, |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 12:19 } \\ & \text { Hill }{ }_{[2]}-41: 14,42: 23 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { HR }_{[2]}-5: 27,11: 10 \\ & \text { hub }_{[2]}-4: 19,15: 25 \end{aligned}$ |
| 81:27 | 6:25, 6:27, 17:13 | 82: |  | huge $[6]$ - 19:19, |
| gs [1]-11:3 | 42:10, 43:26, 50:10, | guided ${ }^{[1]}$ - 82:18 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Historic [2]-4:17, } \\ & \text { 15:8 } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 21: 29,24: 29,29: 12, \\ & 29: 14,48: 21 \end{aligned}$ |
| future [3]-22:20, | 50:12, 56:6, 62:19 | - 82:1 | 15:8 |  |
| 32:13, 47:18 | :4, 68:2 | -1.31 | home ${ }_{[1]}-47: 11$ homeless [8]-5:25, 11:7, 13:2, 25:6, | hugely [1] - $20: 28$ <br> hundred [1]-51:29 <br> hydrant ${ }_{[1]}$ - $50: 1$ <br> hydrants [1] - 47:3 |
| G | 75:12, 78:2 |  |  |  |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Gleeson }[2]-6: 23 \text {, } \\ & 46: 8 \end{aligned}$ |  | 37:25 <br> Homeless [2] - 36:7, |  |
| Gail [2] - 76:7, 79:11 | 46:8 <br> global [1] - 10:15 | half [6] - 8:19, 22:5, |  |  |
| 75:24, 75:26, 75:28, | $\begin{aligned} & \text { GMA }_{[1]}-6: 10 \\ & \text { goal }[1]-26: 21 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{\|l} \text { 25:1, 45:23, 64:21 } \\ \text { 82:29 } \end{array}$ | 36:11 <br> homelessness [2] - |  |
| 76:1, 76:3, 76:5, 76:7, | golf [1] - 4:16 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { hand }[2]-6: 19, \\ & 47: 20 \end{aligned}$ | $36: 3,37: 2$ <br> honest [2] - 41:6, | $\begin{aligned} & \text { ICT }_{[1]}-5: 28 \\ & \text { idea }[2]-45: 12,57: 2 \end{aligned}$ |
| 76:9, 76:11, 76:13, |  | handle [1] - 17:11 |  | identified [3]-10:19, |
| $76: 15,76: 18,76: 20$, $76: 22,76: 24,76: 27$, | vernment | Hanson [1] - 3:10 | honestly [2] - 63:1 | identify $[3]$ - $73: 15$, |
| 76:29, 77:2, 77:4 | 13:24, 19:19, 21:1 | 27:7, | hook [1] - 19:19 |  |
| :6, 77:8, 77:10, | 21:18, 23:23 |  | hope [2] - 34:9, 34.25 | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline 75: 10,75: 12 \\ \text { impact }[3]-9: 16, \end{array}$ |
| 12, 77:14, 77:16, | 34:29, 35:4 | 33:12, 36:3, 36 | hopefully $[4]-19: 2$, | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \text { 59:5, 59:9 } \\ \text { important }[6]-25: 1, \end{array}$ |
| 77:18, 77:20, 77:23, | 9:8, 45:5, 82: | 36:11, 36:29, 37: | $28: 2,31: 15,32: 21$ |  |
| 78:26, 78:28, 79:1, | 9.8, Grainne | 37:7, 37:8, 38:4, 73:1, |  | $54: 4,72: 11$ |
| 79:11, 79:13, 79:15 | 79:28 | $73: 4,73: 26,74: 5$, 74.20 | 51:22 |  |
| 79:17, 79:19, 79:22, | grand [20]-23:17 |  | house [9]-26:14, | impossible [1] - 30:9 improvement [3] - |
| 79:24, 79:26, 79:28, | 24:10, 26:27, $29: 5$ $38: 8,46 \cdot 2,48: 7,5$ | 38:6, 56:11, 71 |  | $12: 15,22: 15,42: 12$ |
| 80:2, 80:4, 80:6, 80:9, | $56: 4,56 \cdot 29,58: 27$ | 73:1 | 26:15, 26:18, 28:4, | $\begin{aligned} & \text { improving }[1] \text { - } \\ & 52: 14 \end{aligned}$ |
| $80: 11,80: 13,80: 15$, $80: 17,80: 19,80: 21$, | $59: 17,64: 18,65: 2$ | bours [1] - 12:11 | $\begin{aligned} & 28: 5,30: 5,32: 8, \\ & 49 \cdot 2052 \cdot 20 \end{aligned}$ |  |
| $80: 23,80: 25,80: 29$ | 68:1, 68:3, 75:5, | hard [4]-15:4 | 49:20, 52:20 <br> housed [1]-26:22 | in-house [1] - 52:20 inadequacies [1] - |
| 81:7, 82:4 | grant [6] - 8:12, 13:3, | harmonization [6] - | 36:13, 36:14 <br> houses [18]-19:18, |  |
| game [1] - 15:15 |  | 5:10, 8:3, 9:19, 71:23, |  | 22:12 <br> inaudible [21] 15:10, 20:1, 24:19, |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { gap [2]-27:21, } \\ & 27: 27 \end{aligned}$ | 51:28 | harmonized [2] - | 19:21, 21:8, 21:9, |  |
| garage [3]-21:24, |  |  | 19:21, 21:8, 21:9, <br> 26:5, 26:11, 26:25, | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 29:19, 31:24, 33:6, } \\ & 35: 14,35: 17,38: 21, \end{aligned}$ |
| 22:1, 29:23 | grants [7]-4:12, 9:6 | 78:4, 78:19 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 26:5, 26:11, 26:25, } \\ & \text { 28:10, 28:11, 28:22, } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 35: 14,35: 17,38: 21 \\ & 44: 20,44: 23,49: 4, \end{aligned}$ |
| [1] -4:16 | 11:7, 19:21, 21:17 | - 23: | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 29:9, 32:5, 32:7, } \\ & 32: 18,32: 24,33: 24, \end{aligned}$ | $50: 22,54: 21,57: 27,$ |
| gardaí [1] - 31:25 | $21$ |  | 32:18, 32:24, 33:24, |  |
| GEANEY [6] - 2:11, | rants [1] - 9:5 <br> atuity ${ }_{[1]}-14$ : | $50$ | 49:20, 64:15 <br> housing [40] - $5: 5$, | 73:8, 74:26, 81:29 inaudible) [7]-24:2, |
| 38:19, 38:21, 49:1, | graveyards ${ }^{3}$ ] | Head [1] - 49: | housing [40] - 5:5, <br> 5:18, 5:19, 5:22, 8:12, |  |
| 49:7, 50:7 | $48: 2,48: 4,54: 28$ | health [2]-8:2 | 5:18, 5:19, 5:22, 8:12, | 31:18, 32:2, 36:11, |
| general [11] - 7:20, | great [6]-15:17, | 64:24 | 8:17, 9:1, 11:2, 11:4, 11:6, 11:7, 11:11, | 37:2, 37:4, 45:11 incentives [1] - |
| 11:25, 14:28, 27:7, | 15:21, 15:23, 25:26, | ealth [1] - 64 | 12:7, 12:21, 12:28, |  |
| 29:6, 34:7, 58:22, | 28:21, 63:2 | $45: 28,57: 19$ | 14:16, 14:17, 17:13, | 72:12 |
| 69:22, 69:28, 72:6, | greater [1] - 10: |  |  | $11: 2,50: 14$included [4] - 9:15, |
| 81:20 | [1]-15: | heating [8]-5:23, | 23:21, 24:18, 24:21, |  |
| generally [2]-26:3, | ystones [3] |  | 24:29, 25:6, 25:12, | $\begin{gathered} \text { included [4]-9:15, } \\ 11: 9,33: 12,50: 13 \end{gathered}$ |
| 28:3 | $\begin{array}{\|c} \text { 47:4, 51:10, } 82: 23 \\ \text { grossly }[1]-20: 10 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 11:4, 12:27, 24:14, } \\ & \text { 24:20, 29:23, 34:19, } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 25: 23,26: 4,29: 12, \\ & 29: 16,31: 14,37: 15, \end{aligned}$ | includes [3] - 14:6, |


| ```49:9, 49:11 including \([1]\) - 33:12 income [33] - 5:13, 7:2, 7:7, 8:25, 8:27, 9:6, 10:9, 10:20, 10:24, 12:20, 13:10, 13:11, 14:11, 16:25, 16:26, 17:14, 19:23, 32:28, 33:4, 43:27, 44:1, 45:22, 47:25, 49:7, 49:9, 56:7, 64:22, 64:24, 64:27, 72:23, 74:6, 75:14 incomes [2]-74:3, 74:15 Increase [1] - 9:29 increase [39]-5:9, 5:16, 5:19, 6:1, 8:10, 10:21, 11:6, 11:8, 11:14, 12:25, 12:26, 13:2, 13:7, 13:20, 14:8, 14:11, 19:13, 20:8, 25:5, 33:13, 47:29, 51:6, 56:21, 57:7, 69:2, 71:10, 71:29, 72:9, 72:17, 72:20, 72:24, 73:3, 73:9, 74:4, 78:14, 78:17 increased [11] - 5:25, 8:11, 10:24, 13:4, 13:28, 14:2, 14:5, 14:7, 22:19, 74:27, 75:14 increases [10] - 10:20, 10:29, 12:23, 14:10, 25:8, 26:7, 27:7, 66:20, 74:6, 78:6 indeed [1]-83:3 INDEX [1] - 2:2 indicate [1]-31:28 indicated [1] - 68:10 indicative \({ }_{[1]}-68: 8\) indicator \({ }_{[1]}-35: 22\) individual [2]-7:21, 14:16 individuals [2] - 63:15, 63:16 inflation [1] - 44:22 inform [1]-33:27 information [11] - 16:1, 25:29, 33:16, 34:5, 34:6, 34:12, 34:13, 36:10, 57:10, 72:6 informed [1] - 56:3 Initiative [3]-18:26, 19:2, 25:25 initiative [4]-13:13,``` | ```13:21, 18:25, 63:24 inspected [1] - 29:25 inspection [1]-57:8 inspections [1] - 35:25 installation [1] - 5:23 Installations [1] - 45:1 installed [1] - 55:9 installing [1] - 24:7 instance [2]-52:15, 52:29 insulation [3] - 24:15, 25:2 intense [1]-53:12 interact [1]-28:28 interested [1] - 60:20 internal [2]-62:7, 69:16 internally [1]-16:16 introduce [1] - 44:7 introduced [2] - 12:13, 13:13 introduction [1] - 12:18 invalid [3] - 57:11, 61:11, 62:18 invariably [1] - 34:22 investigation [1]- 55:27 investment [1] - 10:15 invitation [1] - 82:16 involved [7]-7:19, 15:18, 16:2, 18:27, 25:9, 45:29, 59:14 Involvement [1] - 40:15 involves [4]-7:14, 7:25, 32:14, 32:19 IPB [6]-5:11, 6:7, 10:10, 10:16, 11:27, 13:6 IPP [1] - 14:8 Irene [3] - 77:20, 80:25 Irish [5] - 9:2, 47:25, 47:26, 49:9, 49:12 issue [23]-5:6, 17:7, 23:13, 27:16, 30:19, 32:4, 35:9, 35:21, 35:26, 35:27, 40:21, 44:12, 46:16, 48:9, 51:13, 51:23, 51:28, 54:23, 54:24, 55:7, 55:8, 55:14, 68:5 issued [4] - 7:27, 37:10, 37:11, 68:8 issues [13]-39:10, 42:2, 42:3, 43:2,``` |  K <br> Kavanagh [2] - <br> 76:15, 79:19 <br> keep [7] - 32:22, <br> 33:9, 39:19, 39:20, <br> 51:24, 55:29, 56:3 <br> Kennedy [2] - 76:16, 79:20 <br> KENNEDY [2] 76:17, 79:21 <br> kicking ${ }_{[1]}$ - 5:8 <br> Kilbride [1] - 38:10 <br> Kilcoole [1] - 58:2 <br> Kilkenny ${ }_{[1]}$ - 18:8 <br> Kilmac [2] - $31: 1$ <br> Kilmacanogue [3] - | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 21:24, 41:15, 42:23 } \\ & \text { kind [8]-20:22, } \\ & \text { 26:10, 27:15, 28:26, } \\ & \text { 43:21, 58:1, 72:27 } \\ & \text { kinds [2]-18:15, } \\ & \text { 27:25 } \\ & \text { kitchens [1] - 32:14 } \\ & \text { knock [1] - } 60: 10 \\ & \text { known [1]-41:14 } \\ & \text { knows [1] - 73:10 } \\ & \hline \\ & \hline \\ & \hline \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | ```LED \([4]\) - 23:3, 23:27, 53:2, 53:20 led [1] - 18:16 legacy \({ }_{[1]}\) - 61:8 legal [5] - 11:10, 49:22, 60:24, 60:25, 61:15 leisure [2] - 59:25, 65:4 letting [2] - 19:19, 74:9 level [11] - 16:15, 41:2, 41:28, 43:3, 48:15, 56:20, 62:5, 69:12, 70:15, 70:28, 73:9 levels \([7]\) - 10:24, 16:16, 56:17, 68:2, 70:21, 81:21 levies [1]-11:18 levy [2]-10:25, 13:12 liaise [2] - 36:13, 37:5 libraries [4]-5:27, 11:8, 12:11, 66:21 library [6] - 11:9, 14:2, 14:3, 56:21, 56:23, 62:2 licence \([1]\) - 14:7 lifeguards [1] - 65:26 light \([8]-23: 5,23: 6\), 23:10, 39:23, 53:11, 53:12, 53:21 lightening [1] - 13:8 lighting \([14]-22: 23\), 22:25, 23:3, 23:26, 23:29, 24:7, 30:18, 30:19, 30:21, 38:29, 39:1, 39:9, 39:20, 41:1 lights [14]-22:27, 23:27, 24:4, 30:22, 39:5, 39:10, 39:15, 39:20, 39:21, 41:12, 53:2, 53:5, 53:6 line \([4]-13: 23,14: 1\), 60:12, 72:13 link [1] - 15:14 linking [2]-4:16, 4:26 list [1] - 18:22 listed \({ }_{[1]}-40: 4\) listen [3]-17:20, 43:18, 83:1 litter [3]-13:20, 51:18, 51:23 live \({ }_{[2]}-56: 1,73: 19\) living [3] - 27:9, 27:24, 29:22``` |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |


| loads [1] - 58:23 | 48:5, 55:14, 55:15, | MEETING [3] - 1:4, | 8:22, 14:4, 64:26 | $4: 8,4: 10,6: 25,6: 27$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Ioan [2]-30:8, 53:3 | 55:18, 65:29, 66:3, | 3:1, 83:5 | missed [1] - 71:17 | 15:19, 17:13, 31:9, |
| loans [7]-9:1, 30:6, | 66:8 | meeting [10] - 3:4, | Mitchell [2]-76:25, | $31: 11,38: 19,38: 21,$ |
| 30:11, 30:15, 37:10, | maith [2]-71:2, | 4:1, 7:16, 16:12 | 79:2 | 40:9, 42:10, 43:26, |
| 37:21 | 81:14 | 16:13, 20:23, 23:22, | MITCHELL [2] - | $49: 1,49: 4,49: 7,50: 7$ |
| local [26]-6:5, 7:14, | major [1] - 60:14 | 41:25, 60:19, 82:27 | 76:26, 80:1 | $50: 12,50: 19,50: 21$ |
| 8:27, 11:24, 12:15, | maker [1] - 51:8 | meetings [3]-7:22, | mitigate [1] - 71:19 | 52:10, 53:18, 53:26, |
| 13:13, 21:4, 23:20, | Malone [1] - 1:24 | 55:22, 68:16 | mobile [4]-44:11, | 54:14, 54:26, 55:24, |
| 28:13, 28:15, 33:22, | MALONE [1] - 1:31 | Member [1] - 73:17 | 45:10, 51:13, 54:17 | $56: 6,59: 19,59: 28$ |
| 34:7, 34:11, 36:4, | man [2]-58:8, 75:7 | members [4]-3:27, | model [2]-26:13, | $60: 5,60: 9,62: 2,$ |
| 42:2, 42:10, 42:12, | manage [2] - 39:18, | 10:2, 82:6, 82:9 | 26:23 | 62:19, 64:9, 64:12, |
| $44: 14,52: 25,57: 12$, $59: 6,59: 9,60 \cdot 10$ | 39:21 | Members [18] - 6:28, | $\text { MOMENT [1] - } 3: 25$ | $64: 19,65: 6,65: 8,$ $65: 18,66: 1.66: 4 .$ |
| $\begin{aligned} & 59: 6,59: 9,60: 10 \\ & 66: 8,70: 20,82: 26 \end{aligned}$ | management [7] <br> 8:19, 13:11, 15:17 | $12: 13,31: 12,36: 29$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { moment }[13]-17: 28, \\ 20 \cdot 11 \quad 22 \cdot 6 \quad 23 \cdot 12 \end{gathered}$ | $65: 18,66: 1,66: 4$ $66: 6,68: 4,68: 22$ |
| Local [2] - 9:8, 82: | $8: 19,13: 11,15: 17$, $31: 20,35: 23,41: 23$, | 43:15, 44:14, 56:3, <br> 57:18, 67:24, 75:16, | 20:11, 22:6, 23:12 | 4: |
| locally [1] - 70:17 | $\begin{aligned} & 31: 20,35: 23,41: 23 \\ & 49: 11 \end{aligned}$ | 82:5, 82:13, 82:17, | $\begin{aligned} & 27: 8,31: 27,39: 4 \\ & 39: 9,55: 28,57: 10 \end{aligned}$ | $74: 11,74: 19,75: 9$ |
| location [1] - 35:11 | Management [4] - | 82:20, 82:22, 82:24, | 58:5, 61:3, 69:15 | 75:12, 78:2, 81:18 |
| lockers [1] - 50:27 | 50:9, 56:5, 56:6, | 83:1 | moments [1] - 16:22 | MS [63] - 3:6, 3:20, |
| look [26]-7:9, 22:16, | 56:10 | mention [3]-40:14, | MONDAY [2] - 1:8, | $\begin{aligned} & 50: 10,75: 21,75: 24, \\ & 75: 26,75: 28,76: 1, \end{aligned}$ |
| $\begin{aligned} & 23: 16,27: 29,34: 19, \\ & 35: 27.37: 26,49: 17 \end{aligned}$ | manager [1] - 71:16 | $52: 18,62: 2$ | $3: 1$ | 75:26, 75:28, 76:1, $76: 3,76: 5,76: 7,76: 9$ |
| 35:27, 37:26, 49:17, | manner [1] - 34:6 | mentioned [13] | money [29]-5:21, | $76: 11,76: 13,76: 15$ |
| 49:22, 49:29, 51:2, $52: 14,52: 20,52: 21,$ | mapping [1]-13:14 | 9:18, 11:22, 14:12 $24: 14,24: 24,52: 1$ | 19:15, 19:16, 20:18, | $76: 18,76: 20,76: 22$ |
| 52:24, 52:29, 53:14, | 60:5, 60:6 | 63:12, 73:7, 73:8, | $25: 16,25: 18,28: 21$ | 76:24, 76:27, 76:29, |
| 53:16, 60:16, 63:23, | march [1] - 60:4 | 73:16, 74:25, 78:3 | 32:25, 33:3, 34:22, | 77:2, 77:4, 77:6, 77:8, |
| 63:27, 63:28, 63:29, | Margaret [1] - 3:6 | met [1] - 9:26 | 44:20, 48:13, 51:8, | 77:10, 77:12, 77:14, $77: 16,77: 18,77: 20$ |
| 64:14, 68:12, 73:21 | mark [1] - 28:13 | Michael [13]-15:11, | 51:17, 54:1, 57:1, $57: 4,612,62 \cdot 22$, | $77: 23,78: 26,78: 28$ |
| looked [3] - 17:15 $48: 10,50: 22$ | market[3]-10:15, | $\begin{aligned} & 38: 7,38: 17,40: 10 \\ & 40: 29,42: 8,48: 27 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 57: 4,61: 2,62: 22, \\ & 62: 27,62: 28,63: 1 \end{aligned}$ | $79: 1,79: 3,79: 5,79: 7,$ |
| looking [13] - 24:16, | $\begin{array}{r} \text { 29:16, 36:19 } \\ \text { Mary [5] - } 3: \end{array}$ | 48:28, 59:20, 59:21, | $65: 16,65: 26,70: 17,$ | $79: 9,79: 11,79: 13$ |
| 26:16, 28:18, 29:12, | 76:15, 76:22, 79:19, | 59:23, 64:8, 65:2 | 73:9 | 79:15, 79:17, 79:19, |
| 31:27, 40:12, 45:21, | 79:26 | might [13]-15:19, | monies [1] - 6:14 | 9:22, 79:24, 79:26, |
| 52:28, 53:8, 60:18, | assive [1] - 58:5 | 25:17, 35:15, 35:16, | monitor [1] - 51:17 | 79:28, 80:2, 80:4, 80:6, 80:9, 80:11 |
| 69:19, 72:7 | matched [1] - 8:25 | $37: 6,37: 7,37: 27,$ | monitoring [1] - | $80: 13,80: 15,80: 1$ |
| looks [1] - 54:29 | matter [5] - 46:23, | $43: 14,43: 17,50: 12$ | 63:16 | $\text { 80:19, 80:21, } 8($ |
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| $\begin{aligned} & 34: 18,34: 22,34: 26, \\ & 35: 7,40: 3,44: 26 \\ & 45: 18,47: 28,48: 2 \end{aligned}$ | $71: 9,72: 21,74: 19$ | Miscellaneous [1] - | 56:4, 56:10, 63:21 64:6 |  |
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| 26:25, 27:8, 27:12, | planned [9] - 7:2, | premises [1] - 8:6 | 17:25, 27:28, 48:28 | 41:1, 45:18, 51:5, |
| 27:19, 27:23, 28:23, | 8:25, 14:17, 17:14, | prepare [1] - 8:24 | progress [1] - 24:27 | 53:1, 72:6 |
| 30:7, 30:14, 30:23, | 17:15, 43:26, 44:1, | prepared [1] - 67:23 | project [2]-15:21, | Public [3]-4:15, |
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| 62:14, 63:5, 63:6, | 61:27, 64:12, 75:2 | presentation [3] - | properties [4] - | $15: 29,18: 1,22: 6$ |
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| $\begin{aligned} & \text { 61:13 } \\ & \text { receipts }[2]-10: 23, \end{aligned}$ | Reform [1] - 82:7 refurbished [2] - | $\begin{aligned} & \text { relative }[1]-59: 25^{\text {relatively }[1]-61: 19} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 60:24 } \\ & \text { resources [2] - 5:8, } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 17:20, 21:22, 29:9, } \\ & 31: 5,40: 22,40: 25, \end{aligned}$ |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { 14:8 } \\ & \text { receive }[2]-9: 24, \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 15: 25,26: 15 \\ & \text { refurbishing }[1] \end{aligned}$ | relatives [1] - 50:29 relevance [1] - 55:17 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 9:26 } \\ & \text { respect }[6]-9: 9, \end{aligned}$ | $41: 8,41: 18$ roadworks [3] - 6:17, |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { 13:16 } \\ & \text { received }[3]-10: 10, \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 28:22 } \\ & \text { refurbi } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { relevant [2]-7:9, } \\ & \text { 11:22 } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 11: 28,12: 14,13: 15 \\ & 13: 25,78: 12 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 40:2, 40:3 } \\ & \text { role [2] - 82:8, 82:21 } \end{aligned}$ |
| $15: 9,23: 2$ | 23:20 | relief [5] - 8:5, 77:27, | respectful [1] - 50:29 | roll [1] - 46:1 |
| recent [1] - 20:23 | refuse [1] - 51:1 | $77: 28,81: 2,81: 3$ | response [3] - 30:24, | rollout [1] - 51:20 |
| $\begin{array}{r} \text { recently [4]-39:2 } \\ 40: 29,59: 3,74: 20 \end{array}$ | refused [1] - 30:15 | remain [4]-11:23, | $34: 18,52: 22$ responses [1] - | rough [1] - 19:6 |
| reckon [1] - 37:28 | $16: 26,24: 14,30: 5$ | 69:14, 69:21, 69:26 | 39:28 | $66: 1$ |
| recognise [2]-3:12, |  | remaining [3] - | responsibility [3] - | Roughly [1] - 65:21 |


| ndabout | second [6]-8:1, | 7:10, 8:18, 8:20, 8:29, <br> 11:10, 11:14, 12:11, | SNELL [7]-24:11, |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 62:21 roundabouts [1] | $\begin{array}{\|l} \text { 16:14, 35:15, 73:6, } \\ 75: 4,81: 25 \\ \text { seconded }[2]- \\ 75: 22,78: 24 \\ \text { seconder }[3]-75: 3, \\ 75: 19,81: 4 \\ \text { secondly }[3]-20: 12, \\ 44: 10,44: 28 \end{array}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & 47: 22,57: 6,57: 15 \\ & 71: 22,77: 11,80: 16 \end{aligned}$ | 39:28, 40:6, 40:10, |
| 62:24 |  |  | 71.22, 77.11 | 2:2, 66:9, 66:1 |
| 31:24 |  | 66:21, 66:2 | [1] -2 | 6:26, 69:9, 69:12 |
| uti |  | ES | [1] - 23:1 | 71:5, 71:7 |
| 40:1 <br> run [2] - 6:20, 52:14 |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { session }[1]-4: 4 \\ & \text { set }[4]-38: 15,52: 20, \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Id }[1]-27: 10 \\ & \text { Iution }[1]-18: 2 \end{aligned}$ | 81:16, 81:19, 81:2 staffing $[9]-11: 8$ |
| ing [4]-14:6, |  | 52:25, 53:20 | [1] | 1:10, 16:15, 56: |
| 25:26, 26:25, 31:29 | Secondly [2] - 18:25, | Sewerage ${ }_{[1]}$ - 45:2 |  | 6:20, 66:7, 68:2 |
| Rural ${ }_{[1]}-15: 12$ rural $[2]-40: 21$, | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline \text { 21:2 } \\ \text { Section }[8]-3: 9, \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { shared }[2]-24: 23 \text {, } \\ & 52: 25 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 36:14 } \\ & \text { soon [1] - 19:3 } \end{aligned}$ | 69:9 $\text { stage }[9]-7: 14,$ |
| 40:25 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Section [8] - 3:9, } \\ & \text { 18:3, 25:13, 26:8, } \\ & \text { 26:29, 47:22, 47:27, } \end{aligned}$ | 52:25 <br> Shay [3] - 58:18, |  | $\begin{aligned} & 7: 19,7: 24,22: 26, \\ & 30: 25,54: 9,59: 20, \end{aligned}$ |
| rushing [1] - 18:13 |  |  | Sorcha [1] - 82:25 <br> sore ${ }_{[1]}$ - $64: 4$ |  |
| uttle [5] - 3:7, 45:8, | $\begin{aligned} & 75: 2 \\ & \text { section }[2]-55: 8, \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline 76: 3,79: 7 \\ \text { sheer }{ }_{[1]}-32: 24 \\ \text { shortfall }[3]-27: 21, \end{array}$ | sorry [29]-3:16, | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 61:13, } 68: 24 \\ & \text { stand }[3]-3: 15, \end{aligned}$ |
| 54:16, 77:8, 80:13 |  |  |  |  |
| RUTTLE [8] - 45:9, | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 60:23 } \\ & \text { sector [2] - 20:1, } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 29:15, } 52: 1 \\ & \text { shortly }[3]-70: 26, \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 35: 26,43: 6,43: 7, \\ & 44: 19,50: 10,50: 11, \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 3: 23,24: 13 \\ & \text { standard }[3]-32: 21, \end{aligned}$ |
| 54:17, 54:21, 54:23, |  |  |  |  |
| $\begin{aligned} & 54: 27,55: 3,77: 9, \\ & 80: 14 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline 20: 16 \\ \text { securing }[1]-15: 5 \\ \text { see }[29]-6: 15,9: 16, \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 81:19, } 82: 1 \\ & \text { shovel }[2]-4: 14, \end{aligned}$ | 50:17, 52:5, 56:12, 56:13, 63:11, 64:9, | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline 33: 9 \\ \text { start }[9]-3: 4,3: 27, \end{array}$ |
| Ryan [2] - 77:10, |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 4:23 } \\ & \text { show }[1]-53: 28 \\ & \text { shows }[1]-8: 16 \\ & \text { side }_{[3]}-5: 13,40: 20, \\ & 75: 14 \end{aligned}$ | 64:11, 65:14, 67:4, 67:20, 75:11, 81:12, | $\begin{array}{\|l} \text { 4:11, 14:16, 16:4, } \\ 21: 26,31: 16,39: 2, \\ 59: 29 \end{array}$ |
| 80:15 | 10:21, 18:23, 22:15 |  |  |  |
|  | 24:27, $25: 2,25: 11$, 26:4, $26: 7,27: 14$, |  | $\begin{array}{\|c} 81: 13,81: 17,81: 18 \\ \text { sort }[2]-29: 27,59: 8 \end{array}$ |  |
|  | 27:29, 28:26, 33:24, |  | source [2]-32:28, | $\begin{aligned} & \text { started [2]-34:11, } \\ & 63: 24 \end{aligned}$ |
| safety [2] - 40:21, | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 44:19, 45:2, 45:27, } \\ & 49: 23,49: 29,54: 3, \end{aligned}$ | Significant [1]-5:26 significant $[9]-4: 19$, | 33:4 | starting [2] - 12:21, 53:5 |
| 41:5 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 55:17, 63:13, 70:19, } \\ & 71: 15,72: 22,74: 11, \end{aligned}$ | $4: 28,6: 14,10$ | sources [1] - 9:12 <br> space [3] - 15:25 | starts [1] - 38:25 |
| lary ${ }_{[1]}-30: 14$ |  | 15:27, 37:1, 37 : | space [3] - 15:25, 65:15, 65:19 | State [1] - 82:7 |
| ple [1] - | 71:15, 72:22, 74:11, <br> 74:12, 78:17, 78:18 | 63:18, 66:20 | spaces [1] - 65:10 | state [1]-22:9 |
| Sandra [1]-3:10 | seeks $[1]-36: 12$seem $[3]-17: 7$, | SILENCE ${ }_{[1]}-3: 25$ | spatial [2]-59:1, |  |
| ah [2] - 3:6, 82:10 |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { similar }{ }_{[1]}-39: 24 \\ & \text { similarly }[1]-61: 14 \end{aligned}$ | 60:2 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { statement }[3]-44: 5 \text {, } \\ & 71: 16,71: 20 \end{aligned}$ |
| sfied [1] - 39:18 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { seem [3] - 17:7, } \\ & 27: 27,28: 7 \end{aligned}$ |  |  | statements [2] - |
| savings [1] - 75:15 <br> schedule [3]-6:16, | self $[2]-5: 5,62: 12$ <br> self-compliance ${ }^{[1]}$ - | similarly [1]-61:14 <br> simple [1] - 37:22 <br> simply [1]-33.3 | $\begin{gathered} \text { SPC }[5]-20: 23, \\ 23: 22,24: 25,48: 17, \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 18:14, 19:12 } \\ & \text { station }[1]-4: 16 \end{aligned}$ |
| 7:25, 12:5 | $\begin{array}{\|l} 62: 12 \\ \text { send }[1]-35: 19 \\ \text { sending }[2]-45: 13 \\ \text { Senior }[3]-25: 14, \end{array}$ | Single [1] - 10:5 <br> site $[7]-5: 16,10: 25$, | speakers [1]-25:12 | Statutory [1] - 7:23 <br> statutory $[1]-8: 1$ |
| ched |  |  | $\text { special }[1]-11: 18$ |  |
| 38:22 |  | 13:12, 16:22, 18:4, | specific [5]-31:23, | stay [3]-77:29, |
| scheme [23]-11:17, |  | $18: 21,55: 26$ | 36:14, 55:15, 55:17, 55:24 | stenographic ${ }_{[1]}$ - |
| 11:24, 20:3, 20:4 | 82:11, 82:15 | situation [12]-27:8 |  |  |
| 20:5, 21:3, 21:10, |  | 29:4, 29:18, 29:28, | specifically $[1]$ | 1:26 |
| 21:19, 21:29, 22:6 |  |  | $48: 1$ | Stenography ${ }_{[1]}$ -1:24 |
| 27:9, 33:15, 34:10 | sent [1]-33:29 <br> separate [1] - 42:24 | $\begin{aligned} & 30: 13,31: 7,31: 20 \\ & 36: 3,38: 9,58: 1,59: 9, \end{aligned}$ |  |  |
| 35:1, 35:5, 37:13, |  | 63:9 | $53: 29,57: 3,61: 2 \text {, }$ | STENOGRAPHY ${ }_{[1]}$ |
| 37:27, 38:25, 40:15 | September ${ }^{[4]}$ - | situations [1] - 29:21 <br> six [2]-27:14, 61:2 | $65$ | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline-1: 31 \\ \text { step }[1]-28: 12 \end{array}$ |
| 52:18, 62:26, 72:4, | 7:16, 9:14, 63:14 |  | spending $[1]$ - 73 |  |
| 74:16 |  | six [2] - $27: 14,61: 2$ | spent [6] - 6:15, | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Stephen [2] - 76:20, } \\ & 79: 24 \end{aligned}$ |
| Scheme [7] - 10:5, | serious [3] - 27:3 |  | 25:11, 34:23, 65:17, |  |
| 13:7, 21:6, 40:15, | 27:16, $69: 4$service $[12]-9: 23$,[30, |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { sticks }[1]-57: 16 \\ & \text { still }[7]-5: 5,30: 24, \end{aligned}$ |
| 45:2, 62:22, 68:5 |  | slightly [1] - 6:9 | 65:19, 65:26 sponsored [1] |  |
| Schemes [1]-45:2 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 13:18, 19:6, 21:16, } \\ & \text { 45:11, 47:24, 48:20, } \end{aligned}$ | 32: | 62:24 <br> Sponsorship [1] - | $\begin{array}{r} \text { still [7] - 5:5, 30:24, } \\ 33: 22,33: 25,33: 28, \end{array}$ |
| schemes [3] - 33:23 |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 38:5, 63:19 } \\ & \text { stock }[2]-11: 6, \end{aligned}$ |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { 42:11, 42:12 } \\ & \text { screen [2] - } 8: 15, \end{aligned}$ | 48:23, 51:24, 52:25, $56: 21,56: 23$ | small [7] - 23:5, | 62:21 <br> sponsorships [1] - |  |
| 8:26 | Service [1] - 10:5 <br> serviced [1] - 49:23 <br> Services [10]-1:24, | $48: 5,67: 23,81: 15$ | 63:24 | Stokes [1] - 3:7 <br> stonemasons [1] - |
| [1] - 33:13 |  | Snell [11]-24:10, |  |  |
| Sean [7]-50:7, |  |  | Square [1] - 4:21 <br> staff $[33]-5: 26,5: 28$, | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline 69: 24 \\ \text { stop }[1]-51: 18 \end{array}$ |
| 50:11, 50:17, $53: 2$ | Services $[10]-1: 24$, $15: 10,43: 25,43: 27$, | 26:6, 47:21, 49:9, 57:5, 71:21, 73:15, | staff [33]-5:26, 5:28, |  |
| 54:11, 59:18, 63:3 | $\begin{aligned} & 43: 29,46: 18,47: 23, \\ & 47: 27,64: 8,64: 26 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 77: 10,78: 13,80: 15 \text {, } \\ & 81: 5 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 14: 7,15: 19,16: 17, \\ & 17: 3,18: 3,18: 27, \end{aligned}$ | Storm [2] - 52:23 |
| 50:8 |  |  |  | story [1] - 50:2 |



| 80:19 | 43:26, 45:2, 46:18, | Windgates [3] - | Year [1] - 78:7 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| VANCE [2] - 77:15, | 47:23, 47:25, 47:26, | 46:24, 49:16, 49:28 | years [21] - 5:7, 6:9, |
| 80:20 | 49:9 | windows [1]-21:7 | 6:10, 10:3, 10:13, |
| variation [1] - 7:15 | Waters [1] - 43:24 | Winter [1]-25:25 | 17:4, 23:28, 24:3, |
| various [4]-5:15, | weather [2] - 18:25, | Winters [3]-77:20, | 24:4, 32:22, 32:23, |
| 58:6, 64:13, 66:17 | 46:29 | 80:25, 80:26 | 33:20, 34:4, 34:22, |
| version [2]-17:16, | Weather [2] - 18:26, | WINTERS [2] - | 34:27, 56:24, 58:3, |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { 17:17 } \\ & \text { victimised }[1] \text { - } \end{aligned}$ | 19:2 website [1] - 56:1 | $77: 21,80: 27$ | $\begin{aligned} & 60: 26,71: 9,74: 14 \\ & 74: 21 \end{aligned}$ |
| 20:28 | Wednesday [1] |  | yesterday [1] - 58:8 |
| view [7]-38:15, | week [9] - 3:19, 4:27, | 71:19 | young [1] - 29:22 |
| 48:17, 49:22, 53:4, | 15:13, 18:23, 20:24, | wondering [16] - | yourself [3]-22:8, |
| 61:15, 66:24, 74:22 | 31:18, 42:16, 42:18, | 22:19, 24:5, 26:12, | 26:22, 73:21 |
| village [2] - 70:3, | 46:9 | 26:19, 26:23, 27:10, |  |
| 81:27 | week's [1] - 18:7 | 27:28, 41:27, 45:6, | Z |
| Vincent [2]-75:28, | weekend [2]-31:16, | $54: 11,58: 9,58: 24$ $\begin{array}{llll} 59 \cdot 5 & 59 \cdot 8 & 59 \cdot 14 \end{array}$ |  |
| $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline 79: 3 \\ \text { volatility }[1]-10: 15 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 42:18 } \\ & \text { weeks [5] - 28:6, } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 59: 5,59: 8,59: 14, \\ & 63: 17 \end{aligned}$ | zero [1] - 78:12 |
| volume [1] - 32:24 <br> vote [8]-67:13, | $\begin{aligned} & 56: 1,66: 18,69: 28 \\ & 82: 21 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { wording [3] - 48:10, } \\ & 50: 25,57: 6 \end{aligned}$ | $€$ |
| 73:29, 74:1, 75:19, | welcome [5] - 25:5, | workers [1] - 69:19 |  |
| 75:20, 77:25, 78:26, | $47: 28,68: 18,69: 3$ | workforce [3] - 62:3, | €10,000[1]-47:29 |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { 78:27 } \\ & \text { votes [1] - 3:4 } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 69:5 } \\ & \text { welcomed }[1]-57: 8 \\ & \text { Welfare }[1]-64: 8 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 71:10, 81:22 } \\ & \text { workload [1] - } 37: 3 \\ & \text { works [28] - } 6: 16, \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & € 5,000[1]-65: 21 \\ & € 600,000[1]-19: 13 \end{aligned}$ |
| W | $\begin{aligned} & \text { welfare [2]-8:22, } \\ & 64: 24 \end{aligned}$ | $7: 26,11: 3,11: 6,12: 6$ |  |
|  | west [1] - 44:17 | 12:25, 12:29, 13:1, |  |
| waiting [1] - 34:9 <br> walk [6] - 22:8, | West [2] - 44:12, | 13:29, 15:6, 19:21, |  |
| $46: 25,68: 11,68: 19$ | $51: 13$ <br> whatsoever [2] - | $\begin{aligned} & 21: 7,21: 8,24: 19 \\ & 24: 26,38: 24,40: 13 \end{aligned}$ |  |
| 69:6 | $66: 23,74: 17$ | 41:5, 41:6, 41:16, |  |
| Walk [2] - 4:27 walk-in [3]-68: | whereby [1] - 12:13 | $42: 13,42: 25,55: 27$ |  |
| walk-in [3]-68: | Whitestown [3] - | 55:28 |  |
| walking [1] - 23:7 | 54:9, 54:15, 55:25 <br> Whitmore [3] - 54:7, | workshop [1] - 60:19 world [1] - 37:5 |  |
| walkways [1] - 15:16 | $77: 18,80: 23$ | worry [1] - 70:25 |  |
| wall [4]-48:15, | WHITMORE [4] - | write [1] - 74:12 |  |
| $\begin{gathered} 48: 18,50: 23,54: 24 \\ \text { walls [4]-48:9, } \end{gathered}$ | $54: 8,54: 15,77: 19$ | written [1] - 32:26 |  |
| $48: 22,50: 6,50: 21$ | $80: 24$ | Y |  |
| Walsh [13]-16:29, | whole [7] - 14:24, | Y |  |
| $29: 5,41: 21,45: 16$ <br> $55: 4,55: 5,58: 28$ | $36: 5,46: 5,46: 27$ |  |  |
| $62: 29,63: 2,63: 10,$ | Wicklow [25] - 4:20, | vear [49] - 5:4. 5:14. |  |
| $77: 16,78: 24,80: 21$ | 6:3, 10:7, 15:16, 21:9, |  |  |
| $\text { WALSH }_{[15]}-17: 1,$ | 21:16, 31:24, 39:4, 41:9, 41:24, 42:13 | $9: 18,10: 1,10: 26$ |  |
| 17:6, 29:6, 29:11, |  | 11:27, 12:1, 12:13, |  |
| 41:23, 42:2, 42:7, | $51: 9,57: 22,60: 16,$ | $12: 26,13: 23,14: 6$ |  |
| 45:17, 55:7, 55:12, | 63:26, 63:28, 71:28, | 19:13, 21:12, 21:14, |  |
| 55:21, 58:29, 63:11, | 71:29, 72:1, 78:16, | $24: 13,25: 27,26: 15$ |  |
| 77:17, 80:22 | 82:24 | $26: 19,29: 14,34: 1$ |  |
| wants [5] -66:16, | WICKLOW [3] - 1:4, | $36: 7,37: 26,39: 24$ |  |
| 67:2, 67:17, 82:2, | 1:6 | $39: 25,39: 29,40: 2$ |  |
| 82:25 | Wicklow's [1] - | $41: 7,41: 20,44: 7$ |  |
| wardens [1] - 51:18 | 58:24 | $44: 13,54: 21,60: 15$ |  |
| water [5] - 8:18, | wide [1] - 35:5 | 68:7, 68:9, 70:21, |  |
| 47:4, 49:1, 49:12, | wider [1]-53:13 | 71:20, 71:28, 73:12, |  |
| $50: 3$ | wild [1] - 50:15 | $74: 12,77: 26,78: 3$ |  |
| Water [8] - 9:2, | $\text { wind }[2]-5: 15,37: 6$ | 78:5, 78:7, 78:11 |  |

